

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: BLK Properties DOCKET NO.: 12-00524.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-17-330-009

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are BLK Properties, the appellant, by attorney Dennis D. Koonce, of Dennis Koonce Attorney at Law in Frankfort; and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$5,630
IMPR.:	\$37,062
TOTAL:	\$42,692

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a split level dwelling of frame construction with 1,624 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1960. Features of the home include

PTAB/eeb/Jan.15/2012-00524

Docket No: 12-00524.001-R-1

central air conditioning and a 308 square foot garage. The property is located in Aurora, Aurora Township, Kane County.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on September 22, 2010 for a price of \$64,750. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$42,692. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$128,012 or \$78.83 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2012 three year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.35% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on two comparable sales.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record to be the two comparable sales submitted by the board of review. These comparables were similar to the subject in location, style, construction, features and/or age. One of these properties also sold proximate in time to the assessment date at issue. The comparables sold for prices of \$158,000 and \$182,500 or for \$99.29 and \$91.07 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$128,012 or \$78.83 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the comparable sales submitted by the board of review. The Board gave little weight to the subject's sale due to the fact the sale did not occur proximate in time to the assessment date at. The evidence depicted the

subject is "in need of a little TLC" which may support its original listing price of \$69,500; however, no additional information was submitted to support this contention. It appears the subject's condition is reflected in the subject's assessment because its market value as reflected by its assessment is below that of similar properties located in close proximity to the subject which sold on or about the same date as the subject (board of review's comparable #1 sold in March, 2009). Based on this record the Board finds the subject's assessment is supported by a preponderance of the evidence herein and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified. This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Smald R. mit

Chairman

Member

Mano Maino

Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 20, 2015

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

Member

Member

Docket No: 12-00524.001-R-1

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.