

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Kashif Alauddin DOCKET NO.: 12-00287.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 11-04-07-402-015-1006

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kashif Alauddin, the appellant, by attorney Richard H. Levy, of Fisk Kart Katz and Regan, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Will County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Will County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

> LAND: \$11,215 IMPR.: \$41,864 TOTAL: \$53,079

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Will County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story condominium of brick exterior construction with 1,824 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2006. Features of the home include a 672 square foot unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a 480 square foot garage. The property is located in Romeoville, Lockport Township, Will County.

PTAB/Feb.15 AH-2291 Docket No: 12-00287.001-R-1

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$53,079. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$41,864 or \$22.95 per square foot of living area.

In response to the appellant's evidence, the board of review argued that appellant's comparables #1 and #3 are a different "model" than the subject property.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables.

In rebuttal, the appellant submitted a copy of a Settlement Statement regarding the sale of the subject property and an appraisal for the subject property. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that this evidence constitutes a new overvaluation argument and shall not be considered. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.66).¹

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment 86 Ill.Admin.Code comparables to the subject property. The Board finds the appellant did not meet this §1910.65(b). burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. These comparables had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$16.24 to \$26.31 per

¹ Section 16-180 of the Property Tax Code provides in pertinent part: Each appeal shall be limited to the grounds listed in the petition filed with the Property Tax Appeal Board. (35 ILCS 200/16-180)

Docket No: 12-00287.001-R-1

square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$22.95 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified. This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Monald R. Cuit

Chairman

Member

Mano Maino

Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 20, 2015

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

Member

Member

Docket No: 12-00287.001-R-1

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.