



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: William David
DOCKET NO.: 11-35130.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 09-09-401-097-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are William David, the appellant, by attorney Herbert B. Rosenberg, of Schoenberg Finkel Newman & Rosenberg LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$19,769
IMPR.: \$58,731
TOTAL: \$78,500

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2011 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry construction with 6,042 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 10 years old. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a three-car garage. The property has a 25,509 square foot site and is located in Des Plaines, Maine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$785,000 as of January 1, 2011. The appellant's appraisal was completed using the sales comparison approach in estimating a market value for the subject property. The appellant's appraiser selected five comparable properties that were all located in Des Plaines, like the subject. Four of

the properties were sales and one was a pending sale. The comparables were two-story frame and masonry or masonry dwellings that ranged in size from 3,052 to 4,544 square feet of living area. The comparables range in age from 5 to 36 years old. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables had four sale dates ranging from December 2008 to May 2011 for prices ranging from \$441,000 to \$805,000 or from \$136.10 to \$177.16 per square foot of living area, including land. The pending sale was being purchased for \$599,000 or \$179.66 per square foot of living area, including land.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$122,543. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,225,430 or \$202.82 per square foot of building area, land included, when using the level of assessment for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted a grid analysis containing information on three comparable sales that were located in Park Ridge, unlike the subject. The comparables were two-story masonry dwellings that ranged in size from 5,158 to 8,041 square feet of living area. The comparables range in age from 3 to 16 years old. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables had sale dates ranging from December 2008 to June 2010 for prices ranging from \$1,200,000 to \$2,000,000 or from \$232.65 to \$274.21 per square foot of living area, including land.

The appellant submitted a rebuttal critiquing the board of review's submission noting the differences in location between the board of review's comparable sales and the subject property. Additionally, the board of review's sales have not been adjusted for any differences from the subject.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appraisal submitted by the appellant estimating the subject had a market value of \$785,000 as of January 1, 2011. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,225,430 or \$202.82 per square foot of living area, including land, which is above the appraised value. The Board gave less weight to the board of review's comparable sales due to their dissimilar location in Park Ridge.

Based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellant's request is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 18, 2018



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

William David, by attorney:
Herbert B. Rosenberg
Schoenberg Finkel Newman & Rosenberg LLC
222 South Riverside Plaza
Suite 2100
Chicago, IL 60606-6101

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602