

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Ghanesh Patel
DOCKET NO.: 11-33939.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 09-29-409-029-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ghanesh Patel, the appellant, by attorney David Lavin, of Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 1,505 **IMPR.:** \$14,025 **TOTAL:** \$15,530

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2011 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is a 50 year-old, two-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction containing 1,152 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement. The property has a 1,942 square foot site and is located in Maine Township, Cook County. The property is a Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted brief in support of a contention of law. The appellant requested a total assessment reduction to \$6,839 when applying Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) as a result of the Board's decision in docket #10-23441.001-R-1. The Board in that prior case reduced the appellant's 2010 assessment to \$6,839. The appellant also submitted an illegible copy of a real estate contract for the sale of the subject in 2010, a print-out

Docket No: 11-33939.001-R-1

from the Multiple Listing Service of the listing of the subject for sale in 2009, and a copy of the Board's decision in #10-23441.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$15,530. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$155,300.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparables.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

Section 16-185 provides in part:

If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel on which a residence occupied by the owner is situated, such reduced assessment, subject to equalization, shall remain in effect for the remainder of the general assessment period as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, unless that parcel is subsequently sold in an arm's length transaction establishing a fair cash value for the parcel that is different from the fair cash value on which the Board's assessment is based, or unless the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board is reversed or modified upon review.

The Board finds the appellant did not submit evidence that the subject property was occupied by the owner in the 2011 lien year, as required by Section 16-185. The Board's decision in #10-23441 does not disclose whether the subject was occupied by the owner. Based on this evidence, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

Docket No: 11-33939.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

| , Ma | us Illouis |
|----------------|---------------|
| | Chairman |
| 21. Fe | C. R. |
| Member | Member |
| Robert Stoffen | Dan De Kinin |
| Member | Acting Member |
| DISSENTING: | |

<u>CERTIFICATIO</u>N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

| Date: | September 23, 2016 |
|-------|--|
| | Aportol |
| | Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board |

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

Docket No: 11-33939.001-R-1

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.