

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Anca Alexandru DOCKET NO.: 11-32542.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-22-413-021-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Anca Alexandru, the appellant, by attorney Adam E. Bossov of the Law Offices of Adam E. Bossov, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

> LAND: \$2,187 IMPR.: \$6,213 TOTAL: \$8,400

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2011 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame construction. The dwelling is approximately 57 years old and has 864 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement and a one-car garage. The property has a 6,250 square foot site and is located in Broadview, Proviso Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on the December 31, 2008 sale of the subject property for a price of \$84,000 or \$97.22 per square foot of living area, land included. The appellant also submitted information on 37 sale Docket No: 11-32542.001-R-1

properties "that were acquired out of foreclosure." These properties consisted of nine properties that recently sold; seven pending sales; and twenty-one properties listed for sale. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$12,105. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$121,050 or \$140.10 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the 10% level of assessment for class 2 residential properties under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales that sold from April 2010 to May 2011 for prices that ranged from \$210,000 to \$310,000 or from \$228.26 to \$371.13 per square foot of living area, land included. The board of review comparables had a different assigned neighborhood code than the subject and were located in a different municipality than the subject property.

The appellant's attorney submitted a rebuttal brief.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value was the purchase of the subject property on December 31, 2008 for a price of \$84,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had many of the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the seller was HSBC Mortgage Services, the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a realtor, the property had been advertised on the open market with the Multiple Listing Service (MLS), and the property sold in settlement of a foreclosure. In further support of the transaction, the appellant submitted copies of the special warranty deed and the MLS listing sheet. The listing sheet revealed that the property was listed for sale on December 8, 2008 at a price of \$95,110. The property was on the market for 11 days before a sales contract was signed on December 18, 2008 with a sale price of \$84,000. The listing sheet also disclosed that the subject was being sold "As Is" and that repairs were necessary. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment.

The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction and was not able to refute the contention that the purchase price was reflective of market value. The board of review presented four sale properties that sold proximate to the assessment date; however, these properties were located in a different municipality and had a different assigned neighborhood code than the subject. As a result, the Board gave the board of review's market evidence reduced weight.

Based on this record, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellant's request is appropriate.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Member

eny Whit

Acting Member

Chairman

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 22, 2016

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.