

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Richard Zwierzynski DOCKET NO.: 11-32377.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-04-121-004-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Richard Zwierzynski, the appellant, by attorney Arnold G. Siegel, of Siegel & Callahan, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

> LAND: \$3,920 IMPR.: \$5,681 TOTAL: \$9,601

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2011 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame construction. The dwelling is approximately 85 years old and has 774 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a partial unfinished basement and a one and one-half car garage. The property has an 8,712 square foot site and is located in Melrose Park, Proviso Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-02 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on September 30, 2009 for a price of \$47,000. The appellant did not complete Section IV of the

Docket No: 11-32377.001-R-1

residential appeal form. The appellant's attorney submitted an undated letter in support of the subject's sale. Counsel stated that the fair market value of the subject property was "established by a September 30, 2009 arm's length, bona fide sale of property." To document the transaction, the appellant submitted copies of the sales contract, the settlement statement, the warranty deed and part of the listing sheet from the Multiple Listing Service. The settlement statement disclosed that commissions were paid to two realty firms, and the listing sheet revealed the subject was an estate sale and was being sold "as is." Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$9,601. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$101,170 or \$130.71 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2011 three year average median level of assessments for class 2 property of 9.49% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales.

The appellant's attorney submitted a rebuttal brief.

## Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board gave little weight to the subject's September 2009 sale, because it occurred over one year prior to the January 1, 2011 assessment date. The Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record to be board of review comparables #1 and #2. These properties sold in February 2011 and January 2010, respectively, and these sale dates were more proximate to the January 1, 2011 assessment date. These comparables were similar to the subject in varying degrees, and their parcel index numbers indicate they were located in the same general area as the subject. Comparables #1 and #2 sold for prices \$131.75 and \$134.02 per square foot of living area, including land, respectively. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$130.71 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the market value of the best comparable sales in the record. Based on this record, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

**DISSENTING:** 

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

March 18, 2016

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

Member

eny Whit

Acting Member

Docket No: 11-32377.001-R-1

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.