



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: John Byskosh
DOCKET NO.: 11-30257.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 17-17-410-016-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John Byskosh, the appellant(s), by attorney Stephanie Park, of Park & Longstreet, P.C. in Rolling Meadows; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 9,724
IMPR.: \$79,456
TOTAL: \$89,180

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2011 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two dwellings situated on one parcel containing 2,860 square feet of area. Improvement #1 is a 121 year old, three-story, masonry, multi-family dwelling. It contains 2,766 square feet of living area, which equates to an improvement assessment of \$14.33 per square foot of living area. Improvement #2 is a 121 year old, three-story, masonry, multi-family dwelling. It contains 2,901 square feet of living area, which equates to an improvement assessment of \$13.73 per square foot of living area. The properties are class 2-11 properties under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. The appellant, via counsel, argued that there was unequal treatment in the assessment process of the subject's improvement as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted descriptive and assessment information for eight properties suggested as comparable for Improvement #1. The comparables

ranged in improvement assessments from \$7.21 to \$13.46 per square foot of living area. The appellant also submitted descriptive and assessment information for four properties suggested as comparable for Improvement #2. The comparables ranged in improvement assessments from \$7.21 to \$12.87 per square foot of living area. The comparables also have various amenities. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The Cook County Board of Review submitted its "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal," wherein the subject's aggregate improvement assessment of \$89,180 was disclosed. In support of the Improvement #1's assessment, the board of review submitted descriptive and assessment information for three properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The comparables ranged in improvement assessments from \$15.84 to \$19.54 per square foot of living area. The comparables also have various amenities. In support of the Improvement #2's assessment, the board of review submitted descriptive and assessment information for three properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The comparables ranged in improvement assessments from \$16.93 to \$17.80 per square foot of living area. The comparables also have various amenities. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's improvement assessment.

In written rebuttal, the appellant distinguished the board of review's comparables from the subject property.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds that the appellant's comparables, #1, #3, #6 and #7, as well as the board of review's comparable #2, were most similar to Improvement #1 in location, improvement size, and amenities. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$7.21 to \$17.03 per square foot of living area. Improvement #1's improvement assessment of \$14.33 per square foot of living area is within the range established by the most similar comparables. Therefore, after considering adjustments and differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds that the subject's Improvement #1 assessment is equitable, and a reduction is not warranted.

The Board finds that the appellant's comparables #1 through #4, as well as the board of review's comparable #2, are most similar to Improvement #2. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$7.21 to \$17.35 per square foot of living area. Improvement #2's improvement assessment of \$13.73 per square foot of living area is within the range established

by the most similar comparables. Therefore, after considering adjustments and differences in the board's comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds that the subject's Improvement #2 assessment is equitable, and a reduction is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 23, 2016



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.