

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Laura Callahan DOCKET NO.: 11-30104.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 04-09-305-016-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Laura Callahan, the appellant, by attorney Stephanie Park, of Park & Longstreet, P.C. in Rolling Meadows; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 8,287 **IMPR.:** \$22,534 **TOTAL:** \$30,821

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2011 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is a 52 year-old, multi-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction containing 1,230 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a partial finished basement, a fireplace and a one-car garage. The property has a 9,750 square foot site and is located in Northfield Township, Cook County. The property is a Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four suggested comparable sales. The appellant requested a total assessment reduction to \$22,260 when applying the 2011 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

Docket No: 11-30104.001-R-1

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$30,821. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$308,210, or \$250.58 per square foot of living area including land, when applying the 2011 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested sales comparables. The board of review also submitted four suggested equity comparables.

The appellant made two arguments in rebuttal. In the first rebuttal argument, the appellant argued that the sales comparables submitted as evidence by the board of review should be given no weight because they were not located proximately to the subject. In the second rebuttal argument, the appellant argued that the board of review failed to submit sales comparables in response to the market value argument made by the appellant. The appellant reaffirmed the request for an assessment reduction.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant's second rebuttal argument that the board of review's evidence should be given no weight is without merit. The appellant ignored the four sales comparables submitted by the board of review in response to her market value, or overvaluation, argument. That the board of review also submitted four equity comparables is irrelevant. The incoherence of the appellant's argument is highlighted by her first rebuttal argument, wherein she acknowledged that the board of review submitted four sale comparables.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable #1, and the board of review comparable sales #2 and #4. These comparables sold for prices ranging from \$277.82 to \$362.47 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$250.58 per square foot of living area including land, which is below the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

Docket No: 11-30104.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

, Ma	us Illouis
	Chairman
21. Fe	C. R.
Member	Member
Robert Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

<u>CERTIFICATIO</u>N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	September 23, 2016
	Aportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

Docket No: 11-30104.001-R-1

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.