

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Nancy Capadona DOCKET NO.: 11-29987.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 17-07-206-031-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Nancy Capadona, the appellant(s), by attorney Stephanie Park, of Park & Longstreet, P.C. in Rolling Meadows; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$10,285 **IMPR.:** \$38,715 **TOTAL:** \$49,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2011 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists a 15-year old, two-story, frame and masonry, single-family dwelling. Features of the subject include: a full basement, one fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has a 3,025 square foot site and is located in West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant raised an overvaluation argument as the basis of this appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$490,000 as of October 26, 2010, while developing the cost and the sales comparison approaches to value. In addition, the appraisal indicated that the subject property was owner-occupied containing 2,089 square feet of living area. In support, the appraisal contained a

Docket No: 11-29987.001-R-1

building schematic reflecting building size calculations. Further, the appellant's pleadings requested a total assessment of \$49,000 for the subject.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$60,726. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$607,260, including land, when applying the 2011 level of assessment for class 2 property of 10% as determined by the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted descriptive, assessment, sales and photographic data on four suggested sale comparables. The board's analysis also indicated that the subject contained 1,892 square feet of living area without further explanation.

In written rebuttal, the appellant asserted that unadjusted sales should be given no weight in comparison to the appellant's appraisal.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant *met* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is* warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of building size and market value to be the *appraisal* submitted by the appellant. The Board finds the owner-occupied, subject property had a market value of \$490,000 as of the assessment date at issue. Since market value has been established, the 2011 level of assessment for class 2 property as determined by the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10% shall apply. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2).

Docket No: 11-29987.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mairo Morios	
	Chairman
21. Fer	a R
Member	Member
Sobet Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

## <u>CERTIFICATIO</u>N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	January 27, 2017
	Aportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

Docket No: 11-29987.001-R-1

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.