



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Patrick J. O'Malley  
DOCKET NO.: 11-28208.001-R-1 through 11-28208.004-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Patrick J. O'Malley, the appellant, by attorney John P. Fitzgerald, of Fitzgerald Law Group, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

<b>DOCKET NO</b>	<b>PARCEL NUMBER</b>	<b>LAND</b>	<b>IMPRVMT</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
11-28208.001-R-1	10-33-325-029-1001	1,162	47,761	\$48,923
11-28208.002-R-1	10-33-325-029-1003	815	33,524	\$34,339
11-28208.003-R-1	10-33-325-029-1004	1,162	47,761	\$48,923
11-28208.004-R-1	10-33-325-029-1006	815	33,524	\$34,339

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2011 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property is composed of four units of a six unit residential condominium building. The condominium building is five years old. The subject units have a 70.80% ownership interest in the condominium. The property is a class 2-99 residential condominium under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance (hereinafter "Ordinance") and is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. Class 2-99 property has an Ordinance level of assessment of 10% for the 2011 tax year.

The appellant is challenging the subject's assessment for the 2011 tax year based on assessment inequity. The appellant submitted information on four comparable properties described as class 2-99 properties each with four units. The comparables ranged in age from 24 to 52 years old and

were located from 1 block to 3.3 miles from the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$47,730 to \$76,470 or from \$13,478 to \$26,538 per unit. The appellant indicated the subject condominium units had a combined improvement assessment of \$162,570 or \$40,643 per unit. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the improvement assessments of each unit to \$23,239, \$16,802, \$23,239 and \$16,802, respectively.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's combined total assessment of \$166,524 was disclosed. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,665,240 when applying the Ordinance level of assessment for class 2-99 property.

In support of the assessment the board of review submitted an analysis prepared by Dan Michaelides, an analyst with the Cook County Board of Review. He indicated the total consideration for sales of residential units in the subject's condominium in 2006 was \$808,000. The analyst deducted \$16,160 or 2% of the total sales prices from the total consideration to account for personal property to arrive at a total adjusted consideration of \$791,840. Dividing the total adjusted consideration by the percentage of interest of ownership in the condominium for the units that sold of 29.2% indicated a full value for the condominium property of \$2,711,781. The analyst then applied the percentage of interest the subject units had in the condominium of 70.8% to arrive at a full value for the subject condominium units of \$1,919,940. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessments by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989); 86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.63(e). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board gives no weight to the appellant's equity comparables. First, the Board finds the appellant provided limited descriptions with respect to the subject condominium building and units and limited descriptions with respect to the purported comparables. The Board finds the comparables presented by the appellant were significantly older than the subject property and three of the four were not similar to the subject property in location. Furthermore, the appellant presented no market data to demonstrate the comparables and the subject property were similar in value but assessed at substantially different proportions of fair cash value. The Board finds the appellant failed to demonstrate the comparables and the subject were similar condominiums with similar by-laws, rules, regulations, fee, structures, unit sizes, amenities, occupancy rates, parking facilities and locations. The Board further finds the board of review presented a market analysis that supported the assessments of the respective condominium units. In conclusion, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the improvement assessments were inequitable and reductions in the assessments are not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 23, 2016



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.