

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Josh Sprenger
DOCKET NO.: 11-27882.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-32-416-063-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Josh Sprenger, the appellant, by attorney Dennis W. Hetler, of Dennis W. Hetler & Associates PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 11,250 **IMPR.:** \$ 18,850 **TOTAL:** \$ 30,100

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2011 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 93-year old, two-story, multi-family dwelling of frame and masonry construction. Features of this building include a full basement and two apartments. The property has a 1,500 square foot site and is located in North Chicago Township. The subject is classified as a class 2, residential property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$301,000 as of April 17, 2011. The appraisal developed all three of the traditional approaches to value, while the improvement's inspection reflected 1,513 square feet of living area. In support, copies

Docket No: 11-27882.001-R-1

of the building's schematic and living area breakdown were submitted. Moreover, the appraisal stated that of the subject's two apartments, one was occupied by a tenant, while the second apartment was vacant. In addition, the appellant requested application of the Cook County Classification Ordinance's level of assessment of 10% resulting in a total assessment of \$30,100.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$39,167. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$391,670 when applying the 10% level of assessment for class 2 property as determined by the Cook County Classification Ordinance. As to the subject, the board's grid analysis indicated that the subject contained an improvement size of 1,218 square feet. Moreover, the subject's printouts indicate that a homeowner's exemption was not accorded the subject from tax years 2007 through 2011. The printouts reflect that the appellant/taxpayer is located at 1820 Sheffield in Chicago, while the subject's address is 1810 Sheffield in Chicago.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted descriptive, assessment and sales information on four suggested comparables.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant *met* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is* warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of the subject's size and market value to be the *appraisal* submitted by the appellant. The Board finds the subject property, which is <u>not</u> owner-occupied, had a market value of \$301,000 as of the assessment date at issue. Since market value has been established the level of assessment for class 2 property of 10% as determined by the Cook County Classification Ordinance shall apply. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2).

Docket No: 11-27882.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Mars Illorias
	Chairman
	R
Member	Member
	lovet Stoffen
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>C</u>	ERTIFICATION
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.	
Date:	July 22, 2016
	alportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

Docket No: 11-27882.001-R-1

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.