

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Phyllis Montegrano DOCKET NO.: 11-27770.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 17-17-420-045-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Phyllis Montegrano, the appellant, by attorney Brian P. Liston of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$8,160 **IMPR.:** \$37,390 **TOTAL:** \$45,550

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2011 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story, multi-family dwelling of masonry construction. The dwelling is approximately 39 years old and has 2,208 square feet of living area. Features

include two apartment units and a concrete slab foundation. The property has a 2,400 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted four comparable sales with limited descriptive information. These comparables sold from June 2008 to June 2010 for prices that ranged from \$455,000 to \$550,000 or from \$183.33 to \$205.93 per square foot of living area, land included.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$45,550. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$455,500 or \$206.30 per square foot of living area, land included, using the 10% ordinance level of assessment for Class 2 residential property in Cook County.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales. These properties sold from February 2008 to October 2009 for prices that ranged from \$341,000 to \$765,000 or from \$207.50 to \$265.63 per square foot of living area, land included.

#### Conclusion of Law

The appellant contend the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable sales #1 and #4 and the comparable sales submitted by the board of review. The comparable sales submitted by the board of review had the same assigned neighborhood code as the subject, and comparable sale #2 was located in the same block as the subject. The appellant did not provide the neighborhood codes for the comparables listed in Section V of the residential appeal form; however, the parcel

index numbers for the appellant's comparable sales #1 and #4 indicate that these two comparables were located in the same general area as the subject. These six comparables sold from February 2008 to June 2010 for prices ranging from \$341,000 to \$765,000 or from \$183.33 to \$265.63 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$206.30 per square foot of living area, land included. The subject's market value falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Chairman
21. Fe	
Member	Member
Mauro Illorias	C R
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	April 24, 2015
-	Afrotol
-	Clark of the Droperty Tax Appeal Board

# Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.