

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: 6170 N Winchester Ave Condo Assoc

DOCKET NO.: 11-24167.001-R-1 through 11-24167.020-R-1

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are 6170 N Winchester Ave Condo Assoc, the appellant(s), by attorney David C. Dunkin, of Arnstein & Lehr, LLP in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	<b>IMPRVMT</b>	TOTAL
11-24167.001-R-1	14-06-212-012-1001	1,056	4,511	\$ 5,567
11-24167.002-R-1	14-06-212-012-1002	1,285	5,491	\$ 6,776
11-24167.003-R-1	14-06-212-012-1003	915	3,910	\$ 4,825
11-24167.004-R-1	14-06-212-012-1004	1,015	4,231	\$ 5,246
11-24167.005-R-1	14-06-212-012-1005	1,284	5,487	\$ 6,771
11-24167.006-R-1	14-06-212-012-1006	1,056	4,511	\$ 5,567
11-24167.007-R-1	14-06-212-012-1007	1,285	5,491	\$ 6,776
11-24167.008-R-1	14-06-212-012-1008	915	3,910	\$ 4,825
11-24167.009-R-1	14-06-212-012-1009	1,015	4,338	\$ 5,353
11-24167.010-R-1	14-06-212-012-1010	1,284	5,487	\$ 6,771
11-24167.011-R-1	14-06-212-012-1011	1,056	4,511	\$ 5,567
11-24167.012-R-1	14-06-212-012-1012	1,285	5,491	\$ 6,776
11-24167.013-R-1	14-06-212-012-1013	915	3,910	\$ 4,825
11-24167.014-R-1	14-06-212-012-1014	1,015	4,338	\$ 5,353
11-24167.015-R-1	14-06-212-012-1015	1,284	5,487	\$ 6,771
11-24167.016-R-1	14-06-212-012-1016	1,056	4,511	\$ 5,567
11-24167.017-R-1	14-06-212-012-1017	1,285	5,491	\$ 6,776
11-24167.018-R-1	14-06-212-012-1018	915	4,502	\$ 5,417
11-24167.019-R-1	14-06-212-012-1019	1,015	4,338	\$ 5,353
11-24167.020-R-1	14-06-212-012-1020	1,284	5,487	\$ 6,771

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2011 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board (the "Board") finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject consists of 20 condominium units with a combined 99.91% ownership interest in the common elements. The property is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. No evidence was submitted as to whether the subject is owner-occupied.

In support of the market value argument, the appellant argued that six sales have occurred in the subject's building between March 2010 and March 2011. These sales consist of 32.2812% of the ownership interest in the subject building. The aggregate sale price of these units was \$380,500. A deduction of \$5,000 per each of the six sold units was subtracted from this market value for personal property, for an adjusted fair market value for the subject's building of \$350,500. This value was then divided by the sold units' percentage of ownership of 32.2812% to arrive at a total value for the building of \$1,085,771. The 2011 statutory level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Classification Ordinance of 10.00% was utilized to arrive at a total assessment for the subject of \$108,577.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$198,992. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,989,920 when applying the 2011 statutory level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification ordinance of 10.00%.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted a memo from Warren Fairley, Cook County Board of Review Condo Analyst. The memorandum states that one unit in the subject's building, or 5.7790% of ownership, sold for \$134,000. The sale date for this sale was not disclosed. An allocation of 10.00% for personal property was subtracted from the sales price, and then divided by the percentage of interest of the unit sold to arrive at a total market value for the building of \$1,970,929.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds that the best evidence of the subject's market value is appellant comparables #1, #2, #3, #4, #5, and #6. However, the Board is not persuaded by either parties' argument that there should be a reduction in the purchase prices because those prices included personal

property. There is no evidence to suggest that personal property was included in the sales, other than the parties conflicting, and arbitrary, assertions in the pleadings.

Thus, the Board will take the sum of the sale prices of the most similar sales, divide by the total percentage of ownership of the units sold, and multiply the result by the subject's percentage of ownership. The subject's assessment reflects a market value above the best evidence of market value in the record. The Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$1,177,644 as of the assessment date at issue. Since market value has been established the 2011 statutory level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10.00% shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Mauro Illorios	
Chairman		
	R	
Member	Member	
	Robert Stoffen	
Member	Member	
DISSENTING:		
<u>C.</u>	<u>ERTIFICATION</u>	
hereby certify that the foregoing is a t	Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do rue, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the ed this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this	
Date:	June 24, 2016	
	Afroital	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.