

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Breece McKinney
DOCKET NO.: 11-23681.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-29-100-070-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Breece McKinney, the appellant, by attorney Arnold G. Siegel & Callahan, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$55,539 **IMPR.:** \$89,736 **TOTAL:** \$145,275

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of masonry construction. The dwelling is approximately 57 years old and has 5,158 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning and a two-car garage. The property has a 43,560 square foot site and is located in Winnetka, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The subject property is an owner occupied residence that was the subject matter of an appeal before the Property Tax Appeal Board for the prior year under docket number 10-27406.001-R-1. In that appeal, the Property Tax Appeal Board rendered a decision lowering the assessment of the subject property to \$145,275 based upon the evidence submitted by the parties. The Property Tax Appeal Board takes notice that 2010 and 2011 are within the same general assessment period for New Trier Township. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.90(i)).

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. The appellant submitted an appraisal report an appraisal report dated January 31, 2011, to demonstrate the subject property was overvalued.

¹ According to the board of review, the subject property has four fireplaces but does not have central air conditioning. The appraisers did not include fireplaces in their improvement analysis of the subject property.

Docket No: 11-23681.001-R-1

The appraisers concluded that the subject property had a market value of \$1,625,000 as of January 1, 2010.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the final assessment of the subject property totaling \$204,089 (\$55,539 for land and \$145,550 for the improvement) was disclosed. The board of review submitted descriptions and assessment information on four comparable sales to demonstrate the subject's assessment reflected the property's market value.

The appellant's attorney submitted a rebuttal brief.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. Pursuant to section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185), the Board finds the prior year decision should be carried forward to the subsequent year subject only to equalization.

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) provides in part:

If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel on which a residence occupied by the owner is situated, such reduced assessment, subject to equalization, shall remain in effect for the remainder of the general assessment period as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, unless that parcel is subsequently sold in an arm's length transaction establishing a fair cash value for the parcel that is different from the fair cash value on which the Board's assessment is based, or unless the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board is reversed or modified upon review.

The record disclosed the Property Tax Appeal Board issued a decision reducing the subject's 2010 assessment to \$145,275. The record further indicates that the subject property is an owner occupied dwelling. The record contains no evidence indicating the subject property sold in an arm's length transaction subsequent to the Board's decision or that the assessment year in question is in a different general assessment period. For these reasons, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted to reflect the Board's prior year decision plus the application of an equalization factor, if any.

Docket No: 11-23681.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Mauro Illorias
	Chairman
	R
Member	Member
	lovet Stoffen
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>C</u>	<u>ERTIFICATION</u>
hereby certify that the foregoing is a t	Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do rue, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the ed this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this
Date:	June 24, 2016
	alportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

Docket No: 11-23681.001-R-1

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.