



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Judy Chang
DOCKET NO.: 11-21333.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-08-300-009-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Judy Chang, the appellant(s), by attorney Brian S. Maher, of Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 42,108
IMPR: \$ 80,299
TOTAL: \$ 122,407

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2011 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board (the "Board") finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry construction with 3,436 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 83 years old. Features of the home include a partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a one and one-half-car garage. The property has a 27,612 square foot site, and is located in Glencoe, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class

2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$1,000,000 as of July 10, 2010. The appraisal is missing several pages, including the page that includes the comparable sales used in the sales comparison approach, and the corresponding adjustments and value conclusion under this approach.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$122,407. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,289,852, or \$375.39 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the 2011 three year average median level of assessment for class 2 property of 9.49% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables and three sale comparables.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board does not find the appraisal submitted by the appellant persuasive, as it falls short of meeting several standards found in the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice ("USPAP"). USPAP Standard 1-4(a) states that "[w]hen the sales comparison approach is necessary for credible assignment results, an appraiser must analyze such comparable sales data as are available to indicate a value conclusion." USPAP Standard 2-1(a) states that "[e]ach written or oral real property appraisal report must clearly and accurately set forth the appraisal in a manner that will not be misleading..." USPAP Standard 2-2(b)(viii) states that "[t]he content of a Restricted

Appraisal Report must be consistent with the intended use of the appraisal and, at a minimum state that the appraisal methods and techniques employed, state the value opinion(s) and conclusion(s) reached, and reference the workfile; exclusion of the sales comparison approach, cost approach, or income approach must be explained..." While the appraiser purportedly completed a sales comparison approach, there is nothing in the report to show what adjustments, if any, the appraiser made to reach a conclusion of value under this approach. Such an omission is misleading, as the intended user of the appraisal cannot ascertain the analysis employed by the appraiser.

For these reasons, the Board finds the appraisal is deficient in several respects, and is given no weight in the Board's analysis. There is no other evidence offered by the appellant to support a reduction in the subject's assessment. Therefore, the Board finds that the appellant has not proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the subject is overvalued, and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman



Member



Member



Acting Member



Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 22, 2016



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.