

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Ray Barrera

DOCKET NO.: 11-20949.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-29-303-006-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ray Barrera, the appellant, by attorney Christopher G. Walsh, Jr. in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$2,700 **IMPR.:** \$28,701 **TOTAL:** \$31,401

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2011 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story multi-family dwelling of masonry construction. The dwelling is approximately 91 years old and has 2,990 square feet of living area. Features include three apartment units, a full finished basement and central air conditioning. The property has a 3,375 square foot site and is located in Berwyn, Berwyn Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of this argument, the appellant submitted limited evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on

Docket No: 11-20949.001-R-1

February 16, 2010 for a price of \$96,250 or \$32.19 per square foot of living area, land included. The appellant partially completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the residential appeal form and disclosed the seller was "Bank NY Mellon;" the subject's sale was not a transfer between related parties; and the property sold in settlement of a foreclosure. The appellant did not answer questions that asked if a realtor had handled the subject's sale; if the subject had been advertised for sale; and how it was advertised and for how long. To document the sale, the appellant submitted a copy of the Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration (PTAX-203), disclosing the subject property was purchased in February 2010 for a price of \$96,250 and the property had been advertised for sale. Based on this record, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$31,401. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$314,010 or \$105.02 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the 10% level of assessment for class 2-11 residential property pursuant to the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales that sold from May 2009 to February 2010 for prices that ranged from \$245,000 to \$355,000 or from \$106.48 to \$117.39 per square foot of living area, land included. The comparables were one or two-story apartment buildings that were similar to the subject in varying degrees.

In addition, Nicholas Jordan, a board of review analyst, submitted a brief challenging the arm's length nature of the subject's sale. The board of review analyst submitted evidence that indicated the subject's February 2010 sale was compulsory due to a pending foreclosure and the appellant purchased the property from a financial institution. The board of review's evidence consisted of print-outs from the Cook County Recorder of Deeds' website and a copy of a notice of foreclosure from the Chancery Division of the Cook County Circuit Court. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

<sup>1</sup> In Section IV of the appeal form, the appellant's attorney stated that the subject's sale price was \$96,500. However, the transfer declaration revealed that the subject's sale price was actually \$96,250.

### Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record includes the sale of the subject property and board of review comparable #1. The subject property sold in February 2010 for a price of \$96,250 or \$32.19 per square foot of living area, land included. Board of review comparable #1 also sold in February 2010 for a price of \$355,000 or \$117.39 per square foot of living area, land included. Board of review comparable #1 was very similar to the subject in location, design, exterior construction, living area, and foundation. The Board gives less weight to the subject's sale because the appellant submitted limited evidence and failed to demonstrate that the subject's sale had the necessary elements of an arm's length transaction. In particular, there was no indication of the time of market exposure. Furthermore, the board of review challenged the arm's length nature of the subject's transaction which was not refuted by the appellant. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$314,010 or \$105.02 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the market value of the best comparable sale in this record and appears justified given that the subject is older than the best comparable. Based on this record, the Board finds the subject's assessment is reflective of market value and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

Docket No: 11-20949.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman Mano Illorios Member Member Acting Member DISSENTING:

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

> June 26, 2015 Date: Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

Docket No: 11-20949.001-R-1

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.