

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: 2254 West Arthur Ave Condominium Assoc. DOCKET NO.: 11-20798.001-R-2 through 11-20798.020-R-2

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are 2254 West Arthur Ave Condominium Assoc., the appellant, by attorney David C. Dunkin, of Arnstein & Lehr, LLP in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
11-20798.001-R-2	11-31-306-008-1001	719	5,868	\$6,587
11-20798.002-R-2	11-31-306-008-1002	525	4,285	\$4,810
11-20798.003-R-2	11-31-306-008-1003	696	5,672	\$6,368
11-20798.004-R-2	11-31-306-008-1004	506	4,126	\$4,632
11-20798.005-R-2	11-31-306-008-1005	660	5,386	\$6,046
11-20798.006-R-2	11-31-306-008-1006	731	5,959	\$6,690
11-20798.007-R-2	11-31-306-008-1007	887	7,229	\$8,116
11-20798.008-R-2	11-31-306-008-1008	736	6,001	\$6,737
11-20798.009-R-2	11-31-306-008-1009	758	6,177	\$6,935
11-20798.010-R-2	11-31-306-008-1010	792	6,454	\$7,246
11-20798.011-R-2	11-31-306-008-1011	733	5,976	\$6,709
11-20798.012-R-2	11-31-306-008-1012	880	7,173	\$8,053
11-20798.013-R-2	11-31-306-008-1013	737	6,014	\$6,751
11-20798.014-R-2	11-31-306-008-1014	756	6,164	\$6,920
11-20798.015-R-2	11-31-306-008-1015	792	6,454	\$7,246
11-20798.016-R-2	11-31-306-008-1016	733	5,976	\$6,709
11-20798.017-R-2	11-31-306-008-1017	882	7,196	\$8,078
11-20798.018-R-2	11-31-306-008-1018	738	6,022	\$6,760
11-20798.019-R-2	11-31-306-008-1019	756	6,164	\$6,920
11-20798.020-R-2	11-31-306-008-1020	792	6,454	\$7,246

Docket No: 11-20798.001-R-2 through 11-20798.020-R-2

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2011 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of 20 residential condominium units contained in an 82 year-old, multi-story, 20-unit residential condominium building of masonry construction. Each unit has its own Property Index Number (PIN). The property has an 8,232 square foot site and is located in Rogers Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a Class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a condominium analysis with information on suggested comparable sales for six units in the building that each sold from 2010 through 2011 for a total of \$398,500. The evidence included a spreadsheet that disclosed the percentages of ownership of each of the 20 units in the subject. The appellant subtracted \$5,000 for personal property from the sale price for each of the six sold units without further evidence to arrive at an adjusted market value of \$368,500 of the six units sold. The appellant disclosed the units sold consisted of 27.898% of all units in the building. The result was a full value of the property at \$1,320,883. Since the subject of 20 units was 100.00% of all the units in the building, the appellant suggested the market value of the subject to be \$1,320,883. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$343,195. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$3,616,386 when applying the 2011 three-year average median level of assessment of 9.49% for Class 2 property as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted a condominium analysis with information on suggested comparable sales for three units in the building, two that sold in 2007 and one that sold in 2010, for a total of \$732,000. The 2010 sale is also one of the six sales disclosed by the appellant. The evidence included a spreadsheet that disclosed the percentages of ownership of each of the 20 units in the subject. The board of review applied a 2.00% market value reduction to the subject for personal property without further evidence to arrive at a full market value of \$717,360 of the three units sold. The board of review disclosed the units sold consisted of 15.003% of all units in the building. The result was a full value of the property at \$4,781,444. Since the subject was 100.00% of all the units in the building, the board of review suggested the market value of the subject to be \$4,781,444.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the condominium analysis submitted by the appellant. It was based on six recent sales, each from 2010 through 2011, of units in the building. In contrast, the analysis submitted by the board of review was based on three sales in the building, two of which were not recent because they occurred in 2007. The 2010 sale of the unit designated PIN 1011 was disclosed by both the appellant and board of review in their evidence and is added to the total of the recent sales prices only once. However, the Board does not reduce the market value of the six units sold by \$5,000 each for personal property since there is no evidence in support of this reduction. Therefore, based on this record the Board finds the full value of the entire building containing the 20 units of the subject to be \$1,428,418 as of January 1, 2011. The Board finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified. Since market value has been determined, the 2011 three-year average median level of assessment of 9.49% for Class 2 property Docket No: 11-20798.001-R-2 through 11-20798.020-R-2

as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue shall apply (86 Ill.Admin.Code $\S1910.50(c)(2)$) to each of the 20 units in proportion to their respective percentages of ownership in the building.

Docket No: 11-20798.001-R-2 through 11-20798.020-R-2

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

21. Fer	Chairman Mano Morios
Member	Member
C R	
Member	Acting Member
Sovet Stoffen	
Acting Member	
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 19, 2016
	Alportol
•	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.