



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Victor Satas
DOCKET NO.: 11-20467.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-21-407-044-1001

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Victor Satas, the appellant, by attorney Donald L. Schramm, of Rieff Schramm Kanter & Guttman in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND: \$ 574
IMPR: \$2,510
TOTAL: \$3,084**

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2011 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is a residential condominium unit contained in an 87 year-old, three-story, 13-unit residential condominium building of masonry construction. The property has an 8,890 square foot site and is located in Cicero Township, Cook County.

The property is a Class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a partial settlement statement disclosing the subject property was purchased on February 26, 2010 from Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee, in Trust for the Registered Holders of Ameriquest Mortgage Securities, Incorporated for a price of \$32,500. The appellant also submitted a Special Warranty Deed with transfer tax stamps affixed; a Winning Bidder Confirmation for the price of \$32,500 that disclosed no broker was involved in the sale; a Multiple Listing Service information sheet that disclosed the subject was offered for sale in an "as is" condition; and a Purchase Agreement that disclosed the subject was acquired by the seller "through foreclosure, deed-in-lieu of foreclosure or similar process," was acquired at auction and was offered for sale in an "as is" condition. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$6,347. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$66,881 when using the 2011 three-year average median level of assessment of 9.49% for Class 2 property as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted a condominium analysis with information on suggested comparable sales for two units in the building that sold from August 2008 and January 2009 for a total of \$174,000. The board of review applied a 2.00% market value reduction to the subject for personal property without further evidence to arrive at a full market value of \$170,520 of the two units sold. The board of review disclosed the units sold consisted of 15.52% of all units in the building. The result was a full value of the property at \$1,098,711. Since the subject was 8.08% of all the units in the building, the board of review suggested the market value of the subject to be \$88,776.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the

property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in February, 2010 for a price of \$32,500. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's-length transaction. The appellant completed part of Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor, the property had been advertised on the Multiple Listing Service. In further support of the transaction, the appellant submitted a copy of the sales contract, the settlement statement, and the Special Warrant Deed with transfer tax stamps affixed. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's-length nature of the transaction or to refute the contention that the purchase price was reflective of market value. Based on this record the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$32,500 as of January 1, 2011. Since market value has been determined, the 2011 three-year average median level of assessment of 9.49% for Class 2 property as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue shall apply (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2)).

Therefore, the Board finds the subject's assessment is not reflective of market value and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman



Member

Member



Member

Acting Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 22, 2016



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.