

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Matthew Janko DOCKET NO.: 11-20263.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-29-302-001-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Matthew Janko, the appellant, by attorney Leonard Schiller, of Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$ 2,621
IMPR.:	\$ 13,038
TOTAL:	\$ 15,659

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2011 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story, multi-unit dwelling of masonry construction with a one-story, masonry coach house. It contains an aggregate square footage of 4,198 square

PTAB/JMG

Docket No: 11-20263.001-R-1

feet of area. They are situated on a 3,277 square foot site. The property is located in Chicago, West Township, Cook County. The property is class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted evidence of the recent sale of the subject.

The recent sale evidence disclosed the subject property was purchased on September 12, 2011 for a price of \$165,000. The transfer was between unrelated parties and the property was advertised on the open market using a realtor. The appellant also submitted a closing statement and executed deed disclosing the seller as Martin Pena. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's market value.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$28,044. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$295,511, when applying the 2011 three year median level of assessment of 9.49% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted four equity comparables for each improvement.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist appraisal of the subject property, a recent of an sale, 86 Ill.Admin.Code comparable sales or construction costs. §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in September 2011 for a price of \$165,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor, and the property had been Docket No: 11-20263.001-R-1

advertised on the open market. In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the closing statement. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment and that the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction.

Based on this record the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$165,000 as of January 1, 2011. Since market value has been determined the 2011 three year average median level of assessments for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 9.49% shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Member

Member

Chairman

Mano Moiros

Member my Whit

Acting Member

Acting Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

January 22, 2016

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.