

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Anil & Abha Pandya DOCKET NO.: 10-35507.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 11-18-119-036-1228

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Anil & Abha Pandya, the appellants, by attorney Daniel J. McNamara of the Law Offices of Daniel J. McNamara, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

| LAND: | \$877 |
|--------|----------|
| IMPR.: | \$31,273 |
| TOTAL: | \$32,150 |

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) challenging the assessment for the 2010 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a condominium dwelling located in a building which was built in 2004. The subject is located in Evanston, Evanston Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. The appellants' appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellants submitted seven sales of condominiums from the subject's building. The sales occurred from December 2006 to September 2008 for prices ranging from \$287,000 to \$346,000.

The appellants also disclosed that the subject property was the subject matter of an appeal before the Property Tax Appeal Board the prior year under docket number 09-20520.001-R-1. In that appeal the Property Tax Appeal Board rendered a decision lowering the assessment of the subject property to \$45,000 based on the evidence submitted by the parties. However, the 2009 decision is not subject to a "rollover" pursuant to section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) due to the 2010 assessment year not being within the triennial period for Evanston Township.

Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$48,042. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$480,420, when using the Cook County level of assessments for class 2 property of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted an assessment analysis based on 23 sales of condominiums from the subject's building. The sales occurred from November 2004 to November 2012 for prices ranging from \$164,674 to \$512,928.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellants contend the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, of an comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellants met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellants' comparable sale #1 and board of review comparable sale #6. These sales occurred most proximate in time to the January 1, 2010 assessment date at issue. The Board gave less weight to the parties remaining sales due to their more distant sale dates. The best comparables sold in August 2009 and August 2010 for prices of \$287,000 and \$267,371, respectively. The subject's assessment reflects a market value above that of the best comparables in this record. The Board gave some weight to the reduction given to the subject from the 2009 appeal. Based on this record the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellants' request is appropriate.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Member

Member

Chairman

Mano Moiros

Member my Whit

Acting Member

Acting Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

January 22, 2016

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.