



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Jose Munoz  
DOCKET NO.: 10-34587.001-R-1 through 10-34587.004-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jose Munoz, the appellant, by attorney Joel R. Monarch in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

<b>DOCKET NO</b>	<b>PARCEL NUMBER</b>	<b>LAND</b>	<b>IMPRVMT</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
10-34587.001-R-1	17-30-202-039-0000	5,760	8,991	\$14,751
10-34587.002-R-1	17-30-202-040-0000	5,760	8,991	\$14,751
10-34587.003-R-1	17-30-202-041-0000	5,760	8,991	\$14,751

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2010 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a part one-two-story, part two-story and part three-story building. The building was constructed in 1899 with an addition in 1905. The property is located in West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is

classified as a class 2-12 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$495,000 as of January 1, 2009. The appraisal stated that after an inspection the subject's particulars were as follows: 9,600 square feet of land; 3 interconnected buildings with interior openings between each building; 4 building occupants; and a building size of 8,965 square feet. The building contained first floor commercial space of 5,017 square feet with storage and office area as well as a recessed truck dock. The residential space is identified on the upper floors totaling 3,918 square feet of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$66,453. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$743,322 or \$82.91 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the 2010 three year average median level of assessment for class 2 property of 8.94% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted limited information on the subject, specifically descriptive and assessment data was submitted on only two of the subject's three parcels. In addition, data was submitted on three suggested equity comparables for only one of the subject's parcels, with no suggested comparables submitted for the second of the subject's three parcels.

The appellant did not submit any rebuttal argument.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant *met* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is* warranted.

Initially, the Board finds that the appellant failed to provide either lease data or ownership data regarding the subject's various units. Therefore, the Board finds that the subject is not owner occupied.

Moreover, the Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the *appraisal submitted by the appellant*. The Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$495,000 as of the assessment date at issue. Since market value has been established the 2010 three year average median level of assessment for class 2 property of 8.94% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue shall apply. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2)).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman

*K. L. Ferr*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

*[Signature]*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

*Mark Albino*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

*Jerry White*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Acting Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 18, 2015

*[Signature]*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.