

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Chicago Mattress Corporation

DOCKET NO.: 10-34284.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-10-402-032-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Chicago Mattress Corporation, the appellant(s), by attorney Leonard Schiller, of Schiller Klein PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 4,375 **IMPR.:** \$ 10,351 **TOTAL:** \$ 14,726

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2010 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject consists of a two-story dwelling of frame construction with 1,302 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 121 years old. The property has a 3,125 square foot site, and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence

disclosing the subject property was purchased in a cash transaction on April 28, 2010, pursuant to a foreclosure, for a price of \$16,000. The settlement statement indicates the Seller as HSBC Bank USA, NA Real estate brokers were involved in this transaction, although the appellant failed to disclose the market time. The appellant also included a demolition permit issued on July 30, 2010 and an illegible black and white photograph, and indicated the subject property is now vacant land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$14,726. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$164,720, or \$126.51 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the 2010 three year average median level of assessment of 8.94% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables, each with sales data. These comparables were similar to the subject in size, location, and amenities. They sold from June 2007 through December 2008 for prices ranging from \$235,000 to \$300,000, or from \$126.85 to \$161.29 per square foot, including land. Based on this evidence, the board requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, the appellant stated the board of review's sale comparables should be given no weight as they are unadjusted.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds that the sale of the subject in April 2010 for \$16,000 was a "compulsory sale" by the appellant's own admission through their documentation. A "compulsory sale" is defined as:

(i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred to as a "short sale" and (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed

in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.

Additionally, real property in Illinois must be assessed at its fair cash value, which can only be estimated absent any compulsion on either party.

Illinois law requires that all real property be valued at its fair cash value, estimated at the price it would bring at a fair voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is likewise ready, willing, and able to buy, but is not forced to do so.

Bd. of Educ. of Meridian Cmty. Unit Sch. Dist. No. 223 v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 2011 IL App (2d) 100068, ¶ 36 (citing Chrysler Corp. v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 69 Ill.App.3d 207, 211 (2d Dist. 1979)).

However, when there is a recent sale of the subject, and that sale is a foreclosure, the Board may consider evidence which would show whether the sale price was representative of the subject's fair cash value. See 35 ILCS 200/16-183 ("The Property Tax Appeal Board shall consider compulsory sales of comparable the purpose of revising and correcting properties for assessments, including those compulsory sales of comparable properties submitted by the taxpayer."). Such evidence can include the descriptive and sales information for recently sold properties that are similar to the subject. See id. In this case, the appellant did not submit any such evidence to show that the sale of the subject in April 2010 for \$16,000 was at its fair cash value. Indeed, the board of review's four sale comparables indicate that the subject's purchase price was well below its fair market value. Moreover, the subject's current market value of \$126.51 is insignificantly below the only sale comparables contained in the record. Since there is no evidence that the sale price of the subject was at its fair cash value, the Board finds that the subject is not overvalued and a reduction is not warranted on this basis.

In addressing the appellant's demolition argument, the Board finds the appellant is not entitled to relief based on the evidence contained in the record. Although the appellant provided the Board with a photograph, it is illegible and does not identify the subject property. Additionally, although the appellant provided a building permit for demolition, he failed to include a paid demolition receipt that would specify the date the demolition of the subject occurred. Furthermore, the unrebutted evidence indicates a two-story building existed on the property for the first seven months of the 2010 tax year. As such, the Board finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted based on a lack of evidence contained in the record.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Chairman
21. Fem.	Mano Morios
Member	Member
C. R.	Jany White
Member	Acting Member
Sobret Stoffen	
Acting Member	
DISSENTING:	

<u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 20, 2015
	Aportol
~	

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.