

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Anne K. Goetz

DOCKET NO.: 10-33837.001-R-1 through 10-33837.016-R-1

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Anne K. Goetz, the appellant(s), by attorney Richard J. Caldarazzo, of Mar Cal Law, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
10-33837.001-R-1	14-31-300-070-1001	904	20,974	\$21,878
10-33837.002-R-1	14-31-300-070-1002	1,260	29,231	\$30,491
10-33837.003-R-1	14-31-300-070-1003	887	20,595	\$21,482
10-33837.004-R-1	14-31-300-070-1004	1,227	2,847	\$4,074
10-33837.005-R-1	14-31-300-070-1005	920	9,182	\$10,102
10-33837.006-R-1	14-31-300-070-1006	1,292	2,999	\$4,291
10-33837.007-R-1	14-31-300-070-1007	953	22,113	\$23,066
10-33837.008-R-1	14-31-300-070-1008	1,292	11,096	\$12,388
10-33837.009-R-1	14-31-300-070-1009	953	22,113	\$23,066
10-33837.010-R-1	14-31-300-070-1010	1,346	3,122	\$4,468
10-33837.011-R-1	14-31-300-070-1011	986	2,287	\$3,273
10-33837.012-R-1	14-31-300-070-1012	1,358	31,509	\$32,867
10-33837.013-R-1	14-31-300-070-1013	1,002	23,252	\$24,254
10-33837.014-R-1	14-31-300-070-1014	1,389	32,221	\$33,610
10-33837.015-R-1	14-31-300-070-1015	1,016	23,584	\$24,600
10-33837.016-R-1	14-31-300-070-1016	1,403	3,255	\$4,658

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2010 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject consists of a 16-unit residential condominium building which is 82 years old. The property has a 4,307 square foot site, and is located in West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted evidence showing that three units, with PINS ending -1005, -1008, and -1009 in the building sold on July 28, 2010 and August 20, 2010 for prices ranging from \$172,500 to \$260,000. This evidence included a brief from the attorney outlining her argument and copies of the settlement statements for each sale. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$278,568. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$2,785,680, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 properties of 10.00% as determined by the Cook County classification ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted a sales analysis using the sales of one unit in the building that sold in 2008. The analysis indicated that the full value of the subject unit is \$5,792,921. Based on this analysis, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the sale of units with PINS ending - 1005, -1008, and -1009 had the most probative value of the subject's market value. The Board finds that the three sales had a total consideration of \$605,000. The Board finds that there is no support for the personal property deductions made by either party in their analysis. Dividing the total consideration of these sales by the percentage of interest of ownership in the condominium for the units that sold of 15.48% indicates a full value for the condominium property of approximately \$3,908,269, which is more than the market value for the subject as reflected by its current assessment. Based on the record, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

, Ma	us Illouis
	Chairman
21. Fer	C. R.
Member	Member
Robert Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

<u>CERTIFICATIO</u>N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 23, 2016
	Alportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.