



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Mark Steinbach
DOCKET NO.: 10-33422.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-25-122-018-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mark Steinbach, the appellant, by attorney Stephanie Park of Park & Longstreet, P.C. in Rolling Meadows; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$8,505
IMPR.: \$13,995
TOTAL: \$22,500

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2010 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling with 1,092 square feet¹ of living area of masonry construction. The dwelling was constructed in 1957. Features of the home include a full basement finished with a recreation room, central air conditioning and a 2-car detached garage. The property has a 3,150 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$225,000 as of December 10, 2010. The appraiser developed the sales comparison approach to value and analyzed four comparable sales and two active listings to arrive at the estimate of the subject's market value. Based on this evidence the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$22,500.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$28,497. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$318,758 or \$312.51 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the 2010 three year average median level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 8.94% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales.

The appellant's attorney submitted a rebuttal brief in response to the board of review's evidence contending the evidence should be given no weight because the sales were unadjusted.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

¹ Board of review data indicates 1,020 square feet of living space.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appraisal submitted by the appellant. The board of review comparable sales sold for prices ranging from \$245.19 to \$322.42 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$318,758 or \$312.51 per square foot of living area, including land, which is above the appraised value. Less weight was given to the sales submitted by the board of review because no adjustments were made to account for differences from the subject. Furthermore, the sales provided by the board of review sold in 2007 and 2008, not as proximate in time to the assessment date at issue as the sales in the appellant's appraisal. Based on this record, the Board finds a reduction to the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellant's request is appropriate.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman



Member



Member

Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: May 22, 2015



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.