

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Lisa Proverzano
DOCKET NO.: 10-33337.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 04-21-307-005-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Lisa Proverzano, the appellant, by attorney Stephanie Park of Park & Longstreet, P.C. in Rolling Meadows; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$9,295 **IMPR.:** \$28,948 **TOTAL:** \$38,243

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2010 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a multi-level dwelling of frame and masonry construction. The dwelling is approximately 43 years old and has 1,282 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a partial finished basement, central air

conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has a 10,049 square foot site and is located in Glenview, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on 20 equity comparables.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$38,243. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$28,948 or \$22.58 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables. The board of review's evidence also disclosed that the subject dwelling and comparables #1 and #2 were described as being of deluxe quality.

### Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment §1910.63(e). process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity lack of distinguishing characteristics of the comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

Both parties submitted information on a total of 24 suggested equity comparables. The Board finds that all of the comparables submitted were very similar to the subject in almost all respects. However, the Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #1 and #2 and the appellant's comparables #4, #7, #9, #10, #14-#16 and #18-#20. These 10 comparables were identical or nearly identical to the subject in age and living area. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$19.50 to \$22.77 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$22.58 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in

this record. The subject dwelling and board of review comparables #1 and #2 were described as being of deluxe quality. The superior attribute of deluxe quality helps to explain why the subject and board of review comparables #1 and #2 had higher improvement assessments than the appellant's comparables that were considered to be among the best comparables in the record.

The Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Chairman
21. Fe	
Member	Member
Mauro Illorias	a R
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

#### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 22, 2015
	Alportol
•	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.