

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Rachel Mazzocchi DOCKET NO.: 10-33267.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 02-24-205-031-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Rachel Mazzocchi, the appellant, by attorney Stephanie Park of Park & Longstreet, P.C. in Rolling Meadows; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$5,414
IMPR.:	\$21,740
TOTAL:	\$27,154

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2010 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a multi-level dwelling of frame construction. The dwelling is approximately 42 years old and has 1,248 square feet of living area. Features of the home

PTAB/brw/2015.04

Docket No: 10-33267.001-R-1

include a partial finished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has an 11,398 square foot site and is located in Palatine, Palatine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on 12 equity comparables.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$29,126. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$23,712 or \$19.00 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables.

The appellant's attorney submitted a rebuttal brief.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment lack comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code The Board finds the appellant met this burden of §1910.65(b). proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

Both parties submitted information on a total of 16 suggested equity comparables. The board of review comparable #3 was 12 years older than the subject, and comparables #1, #2 and #4 were located from 2.0 to 3.0 miles from the subject property. As a result, the board of review comparables received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The appellant's comparables #1, #3 and #5 were also significantly older than the subject, and comparable #10 differed in foundation. As a result, these comparables also received reduced weight. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #2, #4, #6-#9, #11 and #12. Six of these comparables were located in the same township quarter-section as the subject, and they were also identical (or nearly identical) to the subject in age and living area. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$16.51 to \$17.90 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$19.00 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

~ 1	= t
L.L.	her

Member

Mauro Minino

Member

DISSENTING:

Member

Member

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 22, 2015

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

Docket No: 10-33267.001-R-1

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.