



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: 6101 North Talman Condominiums
DOCKET NO.: 10-29777.001-R-1 through 10-29777.013-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are 6101 North Talman Condominiums, the appellant(s), by attorney Leonard Schiller, of Schiller Klein PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
10-29777.001-R-1	13-01-218-037-1001	1,068	10,956	\$ 12,024
10-29777.002-R-1	13-01-218-037-1002	943	9,667	\$ 10,610
10-29777.003-R-1	13-01-218-037-1003	1,319	13,525	\$ 14,844
10-29777.004-R-1	13-01-218-037-1004	1,027	10,528	\$ 11,555
10-29777.005-R-1	13-01-218-037-1005	1,878	19,252	\$ 21,130
10-29777.006-R-1	13-01-218-037-1006	996	10,210	\$ 11,206
10-29777.007-R-1	13-01-218-037-1007	1,319	13,546	\$ 14,865
10-29777.008-R-1	13-01-218-037-1008	1,030	10,565	\$ 11,595
10-29777.009-R-1	13-01-218-037-1009	982	10,075	\$ 11,057
10-29777.010-R-1	13-01-218-037-1010	996	10,212	\$ 11,208
10-29777.011-R-1	13-01-218-037-1011	1,319	13,525	\$ 14,844
10-29777.012-R-1	13-01-218-037-1012	1,030	10,565	\$ 11,595
10-29777.013-R-1	13-01-218-037-1013	982	10,075	\$ 11,057

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2010 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board

(the "Board") finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject consists of 13 condominium units with a combined 93.7310% ownership interest in the common elements.¹ The property is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property, plus the additional unit not under appeal, had a market value of \$2,000,000 as of January 1, 2010.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$239,028. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$2,673,691 when applying the 2010 three year average median level of assessment for class 2 property of 8.94% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted a memo from Dan Michaelides, Cook County Board of Review Analyst. The memorandum shows that nine units in the subject's building, or 66.3780% of ownership, sold in 2007 for an aggregate price of \$2,257,000. An allocation of 25.00% for personal property/declining market prices was subtracted from the sales prices, and then divided by the percentage of interest of the units to arrive at a total market value for the building of \$2,550,173.

In rebuttal, the appellant argued that the board of review's evidence should be given no weight because it did not address the appellant's market value argument.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When

¹ There is an additional unit in the condominium building that is not a part of this appeal.

market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appraisal submitted by the appellant. The subject's assessment reflects a market value above the best evidence of market value in the record. The Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$1,874,620 as of the assessment date at issue.² Since market value has been established the 2010 three year average median level of assessment for class 2 property of 8.94% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2).

² This market value was determined by multiplying the appraised value of the subject and the additional unit of \$2,000,000 by the percentage of ownership of the units under appeal of 93.7310%.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman



Member

Member



Member

Acting Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 18, 2015



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.