

### FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Anthony Monteleone DOCKET NO.: 10-29588.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 20-15-112-017-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Anthony Monteleone, the appellant, by attorney Richard J. Caldarazzo, of Mar Cal Law, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

> LAND: \$ 5,323 IMPR.: \$49,371 TOTAL: \$54,694

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2010 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# Findings of Fact

The subject property is a 97 year-old, three-story 12-unit residential rental apartment building of masonry construction containing 13,986 square feet of living area. The property has a 9,100 square foot site and is located in Hyde Park Township, Cook County. The property is a class 3-15 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity and overvaluation as the bases of the appeal. In support of these arguments, the appellant submitted information on three suggested equity

PTAB/DPK

Docket No: 10-29588.001-R-1

comparables and a brief. The appellant also submitted an income and expense analysis in support of an income approach for the overvaluation argument. In the brief, the appellant argued that the subject should have a tax load of 2.03% and a base rate of 12% for a 14.03% overall capitalization rate. The appellant also argued that a 5% management fee and a 2% reserves fee should be applied as reductions to income. No further information was provided by the appellant regarding the capitalization rate, management fee and reserves fee. Appended to the brief were U.S. tax return Schedule E Supplemental Income and Loss forms for 2008 and 2009; a 2010 rent roll; and copies of filings before the Cook County Board of Review and the Cook County Assessor.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$63,765. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$58,442, or \$4.18 per square foot of living area. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$490,500 when applying the 2010 level of assessment of 13.00% for class 3 property as determined by the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five suggested equity comparables with sales data on each.

At hearing, each of the parties rested on the evidence previously submitted.

# Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #1, #2 and #3. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$0.60 to \$3.53 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$4.18 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and holds that a reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellant's request is justified.

The appellant also contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). Since the Board finds the appellant met its burden of proof on its assessment inequity argument, the Board does not need to address the overvaluation argument. This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Member

Member

Acting Member

DISSENTING:

<u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

November 20, 2015

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

Mano Morios

Member

eny White

Acting Member

Docket No: 10-29588.001-R-1

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.