



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Optimus Realty  
DOCKET NO.: 10-27894.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 16-14-422-022-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Optimus Realty, the appellant, by attorney Timothy E. Moran, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 6,006  
**IMPR.:** \$ 21,454  
**TOTAL:** \$ 27,460

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2010 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property is a 99 year-old, three-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 5,976 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement. The property has a 4,290 square foot site and is located in West

Chicago Township, Cook County. The property is a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a real estate contract for purchase by Christian Luput for a purchase price of \$90,000 and an Escrow Trust Disbursement Statement dated April 9, 2009 disclosing a purchase price of \$90,000. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$27,460. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$307,159 when using the 2010 three-year average median level of assessment of 8.94% for class 2 property as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three suggested comparable sales. The board of review also submitted a brief arguing: 1) that the sale of the subject was compulsory and, therefore, not at fair cash value; and 2) that the appellant failed to submit sufficient evidence that the sale occurred. The board of review attached a print-out from the Cook County Recorder of Deeds, commonly known as a "deed trail," disclosing the following documents had been recorded regarding the subject: 1) *lis pendens* notice on December 7, 2007 against grantee Ranae Collins; 2) various "court docs" by the City of Chicago against Ranae Collins from March 25, 2008 through April 1, 2008; 3) various liens from June 10, 2009 through July 9, 2008 against Ranae Collins and the property located at 3514 West Grenshaw; 4) Quit Claim Deed from Ranae Collins to grantee Christian Luput on April 2, 2009; 5) Warranty Deed from grantee Ranae Collins to grantee Christian Luput on May 5, 2009. The board of review also attached print-outs of Orders entered by an Administrative Body imposing penalties for various municipal code violations, which were prosecuted by the City of Chicago, Plaintiff, against Ranae Collins, Defendant. These Orders were entered by the Administrative Body from December 17, 2007 through January 3, 2008.

**Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant has not met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

As to the board of review's argument that the appellant failed to submit evidence of a sale, the Board finds that the Escrow Trust Disbursement Statement submitted by the appellant and the deed trail submitted by the board of review constitute sufficient evidence that a sale of the subject occurred.

In addressing the appellant's market value argument, the Board finds that the sale of the subject for \$90,000 is a "compulsory sale." A "compulsory sale" is defined as:

(i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred to as a "short sale" and (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.

35 ILCS 200/1-23. Real property in Illinois must be assessed at its fair cash value, which can only be estimated absent any compulsion on either party.

Illinois law requires that all real property be valued at its fair cash value, estimated at the price it would bring at a fair voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is likewise ready, willing, and able to buy, but is not forced to do so.

Bd. of Educ. of Meridian Cmty. Unit Sch. Dist. No. 223 v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 961 N.E. 2d 794, 802 (2d Dist. 2011) (citing Chrysler Corp. v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 69 Ill. App. 3d 207, 211 (2d Dist. 1979)).

However, when there is a recent sale of the subject, and that sale is a compulsory sale, the Board may consider evidence which would show whether the sale price was representative of the subject's fair cash value. The appellant's evidence did not dispute that the sale was a compulsory sale. In this case, the appellant did not submit additional sale comparables to show that the sale of the subject \$90,000 was at its fair cash value, or evidence of the relationship, if any, between purchaser Christian Luput and appellant Optimus Realty. Moreover, the board of review submitted evidence that the sale of the subject was compulsory and sales comparables similar to the subject in various key property characteristics. Since there is no supporting evidence that the sale price of the subject was at its fair cash value, the Board finds that the subject is not overvalued and holds that a reduction is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman

*K. L. Fan*

*Klaus Albrecht*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

*JR*

*Jerry White*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Acting Member

*Robert Steffen*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Acting Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 18, 2015

*A. Proctor*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.