

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Robert Zapolski DOCKET NO.: 10-26324.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 08-22-200-142-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Robert Zapolski, the appellant(s), by attorney Scott Shudnow, of Shudnow & Shudnow, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$6,019
IMPR.:	\$23,036
TOTAL:	\$29,055

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2010 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 9,631 square foot parcel of land improved with a 48-year old, two-story, frame and masonry, single-family dwelling containing 1,896 square feet of living area. The property is located in Elk Grove Township, Cook Docket No: 10-26324.001-R-1

County. The property is a class 2-07 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$280,000 as of January 1, 2010. The appraisal disclosed that the subject sold in August 2010 for \$325,000. The appraisal asserts that the sale was between related parities, but provides no further explanation. The appraisal discounted this sale. The appraisal also discloses that the report is subject to the assumption that the real estate taxes will be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$34,456. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$385,414 using the Illinois Department of Revenue's three-year median level of assessment for class 2, residential property of 8.94% for tax year 2010.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted three equity comparables.

### Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board thoroughly considered the parties' evidence. The Board gives diminished weight to the appraisal because it lacks information on the subject's sale which occurred within the lien year. The Board finds unpersuasive the appraiser's opinion that the sale was not arm's length because the parties were related without providing any further information. The Board finds this sale eight months after the lien date in question probative in determining the subject's market value. For these reasons, the Board gives the adjustments and the conclusion of value within the appraisal no weight. The courts have stated that where there is credible evidence of comparable sales, these sales are to be given significant weight as evidence of market value. <u>Chrysler Corp. v. Illinois Property</u> <u>Tax Appeal Board</u>, 69 Ill.App.3d 207 (2<sup>nd</sup> Dist. 1979); <u>Willow Hill</u> <u>Grain, Inc. v. Property Tax Appeal Board</u>, 187 Ill.App.3d 9 (5<sup>th</sup> Dist. 1989). Therefore, the Board will consider the raw sales data from both parties along with the subject's sale information.

The appellant submitted three sales comparables along with the subject's 2010 sale information. These sales occurred from April 2010 to January 2011 for prices ranging from \$124.47 to \$155.10 per square foot of building area. The subject sold in 2010 for \$171.41 per square foot of building area.

The subject's current assessment reflects a market value of \$203.27 per square foot of building area which is above the range established by the sales comparables and the sale price. After considering the adjustments and the differences in the comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's per square foot assessment is not supported and a reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman	
	Maus Alerios
Member	Member
CAR	Jerry White
Member	Acting Member

### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

July 24, 2015

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

Docket No: 10-26324.001-R-1

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.