

#### FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Joseph Partipilo DOCKET NO.: 10-25746.001-R-1 through 10-25746.002-R-1 PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Joseph Partipilo, the appellant(s), by attorney Marie V. Mactal, of the Law Offices of Terrence Kennedy Jr. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
10-25746.001-R-1	10-22-102-007-0000	10,367	16,381	\$26,748
10-25746.002-R-1	10-22-102-021-0000	72	0	\$72

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2010 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction with 2,492 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 53 years old. Features of the home include a full basement, central air conditioning, and a two-car garage. The property has a 14,300 square foot site and is located in Niles Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-04 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. Docket No: 10-25746.001-R-1 through 10-25746.002-R-1

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on June 5, 2008 for a price of \$300,000. The appellant's pleadings indicate the subject was sold by Coldwell Banker and that it was advertised for sale for eight months on the Multiple Listing Service. The appellant also submitted a Baird and Warner listing sheet for the subject property. The listing sheet shows a list price of \$429,000. In addition, the appellant submitted a settlement statement that indicates a real estate broker was paid a commission. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$45,778. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$512,058 or \$205.48 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2010 three year average median level of assessments for class 2 property of 8.94% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales. The board of review's grid sheet indicates the subject sold in June 2008 for a price of \$300,000 or \$120.39 per square foot of living area including land. In addition, the board submitted a supplemental brief and signed PTAX-203 form. The board argued that the PTAX-203 form indicates the subject property was not advertised for sale, and, as the subject was not exposed to the market, the subject's sale price does not reflect the subject's fair cash value.

### Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in June 2008 for a price of \$300,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor, the property had been advertised on the open market and it had been on the market for eight months. In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the real estate contract and settlement statement. The Board accorded greater weight to the appellant's evidence and finds the subject was exposed to the market even though the subject's PTAX-203 form indicates the subject was not exposed to the market. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment. Based on this record the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$300,000 as of January 1, 2010. Since market value has been determined the 2010 three year average median level of assessments for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 8.94% shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2). This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

March 18, 2016

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

Member

eny Whit

Mano Moino

Acting Member

Docket No: 10-25746.001-R-1 through 10-25746.002-R-1

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.