

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Mauro Barrera
DOCKET NO.: 10-25453.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 26-06-405-026-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mauro Barrera, the appellant(s), by attorney Joe Lee Huang, of the Law Offices of Terrence Kennedy Jr. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 2,275 **IMPR.:** \$ 5,038 **TOTAL:** \$ 7,313

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2010 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame construction with 1,920 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 121 years old. Features of the home include a full basement, and two bathrooms. The property has a 3,250 square foot site and is located in Hyde Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the

Docket No: 10-25453.001-R-1

subject property was purchased on October 29, 2008 for a price of \$10,000. The evidence includes a settlement statement, photo, trustee's deed, and a Cook County Recorder of Deeds printout. The Board notes that the appellant did not answer any of the questions in Section IV-Recent Sale Data of the Property Tax Appeal Board's appeal form. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$7,313. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$81,801 or \$42.60 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2010 three year average median level of assessments for class 2 property of 8.94% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted a grid sheet that indicates the subject sold in October 2008 for a price of \$10,000 or \$5.21 per square foot of living area including land. The board also submitted four sale comparables. The comparables sold from August 2009 to November 2010 for prices that ranged from \$70.22 to \$135.17 per square foot of living area including land.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record to be the four comparable sales submitted by the board of review. These comparables were similar to the subject in style, construction, features, age and land area. These properties also sold proximate in time to the assessment date at issue. The comparables sold for prices ranging from \$70.22 to \$135.17 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$5.21 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. The Board gave little weight to the subject's sale due to the fact the appellant did not disclose whether: the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction; was advertised or exposed on the open market; or was a transaction between a willing seller and a willing buyer. Based on this record the Board finds the subject's assessment is reflective of market value and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

Docket No: 10-25453.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Chairman
21. Fer	Mauro Illorias
Member	Member
C. R.	Jerry White
Member	Acting Member
Sobert Stoffen	
Member	
DISSENTING:	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T I O N</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	March 18, 2016
•	Afrotol
-	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

Docket No: 10-25453.001-R-1

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.