

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Filkova Yelena DOCKET NO.: 10-24181.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 10-16-423-064-1004

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Filkova Yelena, the appellant, by attorney Nikos D. Tsonis, of Relias & Tsonis, LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 1,181 **IMPR.:** \$ 15,839 **TOTAL:** \$ 17,020

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2010 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is a residential condominium unit contained in a 55 year-old, residential condominium building of masonry construction. The property has a 6,854 square foot site and is located in Niles Township, Cook County. The property is a class

2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales. These sales were for residential condominium units in various buildings, but not in the building containing the subject. They sold from December 2009 through May 2011 for prices ranging from \$61,600 to \$115,000. The appellant did not provide information about the specific property characteristics of two of the four comparables, such as square feet of living area, proximity to the subject, age, number of bedrooms and bathrooms, basement, or garage.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$17,034. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$190,537 when applying the 2010 three-year average median level of assessment for Class 2 property of 8.94% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted its Notes on Appeal with a brief, arguing that the appellant's comparable sales occurred after the January 1, 2010 lien date. The board of review submitted over seven pages of condominium sales in the subject's neighborhood that sold prior to the lien date. The board of review did not submit property characteristics other than age, date of sale and sale price for these sale comparables.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the appellant failed to submit "documentation of not fewer than three recent sales of suggested comparable properties together with documentation of the similarity,

proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the sales comparables to the subject property." 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c)(4). The Board finds that the board of review also failed to submit evidence of recent sale comparables with the required documentation of property characteristics. Consequently, there is no evidence upon which the Board can conclude that the appellant has sustained his burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject is overvalued. Therefore, Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

| | Chairman |
|----------------|---------------|
| 21. Fem | Mauro Morios |
| Member | Member |
| a R | Jerry White |
| Member | Acting Member |
| Sobert Stoffen | |
| Acting Member | |
| DISSENTING: | |

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

| Date: | November 20, 2015 |
|-------|--|
| | Alportol |
| • | Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board |

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.