



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Cameel Halim
DOCKET NO.: 10-21374.001-C-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-05-401-036-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Cameel Halim, the appellant, by attorney Alan M. Didesch, of WR Property Management, LLC in Wilmette; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$32,116
IMPR: \$188,884
TOTAL: \$221,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with an eight-story masonry constructed apartment building containing 47,150 square feet of gross building area. The subject contains 63 residential apartment units consisting of 8 studio, 48 one-bedroom, and 7 two-bedroom apartments. The building was constructed in 1929 and is located on a 9,150 square foot site.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. In support of this overvaluation argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$1,700,000 as of January 1, 2009. The appraiser developed all three of the traditional approaches to value in estimating a value for the subject property. Under the cost approach the appraiser looked at five land sales to develop an estimated land value of \$260,000, rounded. Using the R.S. Means Square Foot Costs manual a replacement cost new was developed indicating a value of \$7,335,825. The appraiser estimated total depreciation

of 80% and adding the land value indicated a total depreciated value of the improvements and land of \$1,730,000, rounded.

The appraisal indicated that the income approach to value is based upon the principle of anticipation. Five rental properties were reviewed resulting in a monthly rental rate for the subject apartments ranging from \$675 per month for the studio apartments to \$1,025 per month for the two-bedroom apartments. Total potential income for the subject was estimated at \$626,100. Less a 20% vacancy and collection loss and total expenses of \$240,422 or 38.5% of effective gross income resulted in a net operating income estimate of \$260,458. Applying a 15.3% capitalization rate reflected a value estimate under the income approach of \$1,700,000, rounded.

Under the sales comparison approach to value the appraiser utilized seven comparable sales in estimating a value for the subject property. The comparable sales sold from April 2005 through January 2009 for prices that ranged from \$1,774,000 to \$4,500,000, or from \$24,986 to \$67,373 per unit, land included. After making adjustments to the properties, the appraiser estimated the subject's market value to be \$27,000 per unit or \$1,700,000, rounded.

A final reconciliation of the three approaches giving the most weight to the income approach yielded an estimate of value for the subject property of \$1,700,000 as of January 1, 2009.

The appellant also submitted a copy of the final decision issued by the Cook County Board of Review establishing a total assessment for the subject of \$314,941, which reflects a market value of approximately \$2,422,623 using the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessments for class 3-91 property of 13%. Based on this evidence the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to reflect the subject's appraised value.

The board of review did not submit its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" or any evidence in support of its assessed valuation of the subject property.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds the evidence in the record supports a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist. 2002). Proof of the market value of the subject property may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, recent sale of the subject property or recent

sales of comparable properties. (86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.65(c)(1)). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record is the appraisal submitted by the appellant estimating the subject property had a market value of \$1,700,000 as of January 1, 2009. The Board finds the subject's assessment reflects a market value greater than the appraised value presented by the appellant. The board of review did not submit any evidence in support of its assessment of the subject property or to refute the appellant's argument as required by section 1910.40(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board and is found to be in default pursuant to section 1910.69(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board. Based on this record the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$1,700,000 as of January 1, 2010. Since market value has been determined the 13% level of assessment for class 3-91 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.50(c)(3).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

J. R.

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 19, 2013

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.