

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Paul Nealis

DOCKET NO.: 10-20654.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 23-35-110-020-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Paul Nealis, the appellant, by attorney Arnold G. Siegel of Siegel & Callahan, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds  $\underline{a\ reduction}$  in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$15,376 **IMPR.:** \$32,144 **TOTAL:** \$47,520

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a two-story single family dwelling of masonry construction that contains 2,924 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 13 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a two-car garage. The property is located in Palos Park, Palos Township, Cook County. The subject property is classified as a class 2-78 residential property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The subject property is an owner occupied residence that was the subject matter of an appeal before the Property Tax Appeal Board the prior tax year under Docket Number 09-35771.001-R-1. In that appeal the Property Tax Appeal Board issued a decision reducing the assessment of the subject property to \$47,520 based on an agreement of the parties. The Property Tax Appeal Board

takes notice that tax years 2009 and 2010 are within the same general assessment period for Palos Township. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.90(i)). The appellant submitted an appraisal to demonstrate the subject was overvalued for the 2010 tax year.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the final assessment of the subject property totaling 70,094 was disclosed. The board of review submitted a copy of the subject's property characteristic sheet indicating the last triennial assessment year was 2008. The board of review also submitted descriptions and assessment information on three comparables to demonstrate the subject was being assessed uniformly.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. Pursuant to section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185), the Board finds the assessment as established in the decision for the 2009 tax year should be carried forward to the 2010 tax year subject only to equalization.

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) provides in part:

If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel on which a residence occupied by the owner is situated, such reduced assessment, subject to equalization, shall remain in effect for the remainder of the general assessment period as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, unless that parcel is subsequently sold in an arm's length transaction establishing a fair cash value for the parcel that is different from the fair cash value on which the Board's assessment is based, or unless the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board is reversed or modified upon review.

The record disclosed the Property Tax Appeal Board issued a decision reducing the subject's assessment for the 2009 tax year. The record further indicates that the subject property is an owner occupied dwelling. The Board also takes notice that 2009 and 2010 are within the same general assessment period. The record contains no evidence indicating the subject property sold in an arm's length transaction subsequent to the Board's decision establishing a fair cash value for the parcel that is

different from the fair cash value on which the Board's assessment was based or that the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board was reversed or modified upon review. For these reasons the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted to reflect the assessment as established by the Board for the 2009 tax year decision plus the application of an equalization factor, if any.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Chairman
	Mauro Morios
Member	Member
CAR .	Jerry White
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

> July 24, 2015 Date: Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.