

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Robin O'Harrow

DOCKET NO.: 09-35602.001-R-1 through 09-35602.002-R-1

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Robin O'Harrow, the appellant, by attorney Richard J. Caldarazzo, of Mar Cal Law, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
09-35602.001-R-1	03-30-118-017-0000	5,912	26,128	\$32,040
09-35602.002-R-1	03-30-118-018-0000	10,999	21,041	\$32,040

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2009 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two separate buildings on two separate lots. Improvement #1 consists of a 38-year-old, one-story, four-unit building of masonry construction with 2,970

square feet of living area. Improvement #2 consists of a 38-year-old, two-story, four-unit building of masonry construction with 2,883 square feet of living area. The property has a total of 20,000 square foot site and is located in Wheeling Township, Cook County. The property is a class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$720,000 as of July 9, 2007. In addition, appellant's counsel submitted a prior Board settlement decision, #08-30395.001-R-1, arguing the subject's market value should remain the same for the entire triennial.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$95,241. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,070,124 when applying the 2009 three year median level of assessments for class 2 property of 8.90% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparables with sales data on each property. The board of review used the same sales comparables for both improvements. In addition, the board of review submitted a brief arguing that the subject is not owner occupied. The board of review's argument is based on the fact that the two parcels are completely separated and the appellant has not claimed a home owner exemption on either one. The board of review also attached a copy of the property characteristic printout of a different property owned by the appellant, showing that the appellant claimed a homeowner exemption on the other property.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the subject property is not owner occupied and the 2008 Board decision will not be automatically applied to 2009. The Board also finds the best evidence of market value to be the appraisal submitted by the appellant. The subject's assessment reflects a market value above the best evidence of market value in the record. The Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$720,000 as of the assessment date at issue. Since market value has been established the 2009 three year median level of assessment for class 2 property of 8.90% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue shall apply. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2)).

Docket No: 09-35602.001-R-1 through 09-35602.002-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Chairman
21. Fer	Mauro Morios
Member	Member
C R	Jerry White
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	September 18, 2015
_	Afrotol
_	Clark of the Droporty Tax Appeal Board

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.