

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Prem Mulkamoor

DOCKET NO.: 09-34515.001-C-1 through 09-34515.015-C-1

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Prem Mulkamoor, the appellant(s), by attorney Brian P. Liston, of Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
09-34515.001-C-1	29-18-326-009-0000	6,690	0	\$ 6,690
09-34515.002-C-1	29-18-326-010-0000	10,780	1,237	\$ 12,017
09-34515.003-C-1	29-18-326-023-0000	1,650	0	\$ 1,650
09-34515.004-C-1	29-18-326-024-0000	1,650	0	\$ 1,650
09-34515.005-C-1	29-18-326-025-0000	1,100	0	\$ 1,100
09-34515.006-C-1	29-18-326-026-0000	4,489	1,546	\$ 6,035
09-34515.007-C-1	29-18-326-027-0000	5,841	2,468	\$ 8,309
09-34515.008-C-1	29-18-326-028-0000	4,125	1,763	\$ 5,888
09-34515.009-C-1	29-18-326-029-0000	4,125	42,802	\$ 46,927
09-34515.010-C-1	29-18-326-030-0000	4,125	42,802	\$ 46,927
09-34515.011-C-1	29-18-326-031-0000	4,125	42,802	\$ 46,927
09-34515.012-C-1	29-18-326-032-0000	4,125	35,781	\$ 39,906
09-34515.013-C-1	29-18-326-033-0000	4,125	35,058	\$ 39,183
09-34515.014-C-1	29-18-326-034-0000	4,125	23,011	\$ 27,136
09-34515.015-C-1	29-18-326-035-0000	6,337	2,610	\$ 8,947

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the

assessment for the 2009 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board (the "Board") finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject consists of four adjacent parcels of land. Parcels #1, #3, #4, and #5 (PINs ending in -009, -023, -024, and -025, respectively) consists of vacant land. Parcels #2, #6, #7, #8, and #15 (PINs ending in -010, -026, -027, -028 and -035, respectively) each consist of a minor commercial improvement. Parcels #9, #10, #11, #12, #13, and #14 (PINs ending in -029, -030, -031, -032, -033, and -034, respectively) consists of a one-story improvement of masonry construction, with 4,200 square feet of building area. The building is eight years old. The property has a 56,950 square foot site, and is located in Markham, Thornton Township, Cook County. Parcels #1, #3, #4, and #5 are classified as class 1-00 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. Parcels #2, #6, #7, #8, and #15 are classified as class 5-90property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. Parcels #9, #10, #11, #12, #13, and #14 are classified as class 5-23 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$299,292. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,263,708, or \$300.88 per square foot of building area, when applying the 2009 blended level of assessment for the subject of 23.68%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on six comparable sales from the CoStar Comps Service.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist

of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be comparables #1 and #2 submitted by the appellant, and board of review comparables #2, #3, and #5. These comparables sold for prices ranging from \$20.57 to \$1,203.54 per square foot of building area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$300.88 per square foot of building area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

Docket No: 09-34515.001-C-1 through 09-34515.015-C-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Chairman
21. Fe	
Member	Member
Mauro Illorias	a R
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	April 24, 2015
	Alportol
-	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A $\frac{\text{PETITION}}{\text{AND}}$ EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.