



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Philip Remeneski
DOCKET NO.: 09-34388.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 17-04-215-033-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Philip Remeneski, the appellant(s), by attorney Steven B. Pearlman, of Steven B. Pearlman & Associates in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 31,290
IMPR.: \$ 214,500
TOTAL: \$ 245,790

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the * tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two improvements that are situated on a 4,470 square foot site. Improvement #1 is a three-story, masonry, six unit apartment building. It is classified as

a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. Improvement #2 is an 840 square foot, two-story, masonry single family dwelling. It is classified as a class 2-05 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The subject property is located in North Chicago Township, Cook County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables for Improvement #1 and three comparables for Improvement #2.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$245,790. Improvement #1 has an improvement assessment of \$177,490 or \$27.96 per square foot of living area. Improvement #2 has an improvement assessment of \$37,010, or \$44.05 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables for Improvement #1 and four equity comparables for Improvement #2.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

In regard to Improvement #1, the Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #2 and #3 and board of review comparables #2 and #3. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$25.74 to \$34.11 per square foot of living area. Improvement #1's improvement assessment of \$27.96 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record.

Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that Improvement #1 was inequitably assessed and a reduction in Improvement #1's assessment is not justified.

In regard to Improvement #2, the Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1 and #2 and board of review comparable #3. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$28.37 to \$58.93 per square foot of living area. Improvement #2's assessment of \$44.05 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that Improvement #2 was inequitably assessed and a reduction in Improvement #2's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

Tracy A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

JR

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: February 20, 2015

A. Portol

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.