

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Tony Roshangar DOCKET NO.: 09-33935.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 17-05-322-030-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tony Roshangar, the appellant, by attorney Richard Shapiro in Evanston and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

> LAND: \$14,688 IMPR.: \$47,610 TOTAL: \$62,298

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2009 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two improvements situated on one parcel. Dwelling #1 is a two-story multi-family dwelling of masonry construction. Dwelling #1 is 131 years old and has 3,195 square feet of living area. Features include three apartment units and a full basement finished with an apartment. Dwelling #2 is a two-story multi-family dwelling of frame construction. Dwelling #2 is 131 years old and has 1,368 square feet of living area. Features include two apartment units and a full unfinished basement. The subject property has a 4,320 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. Under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance, both dwellings are classified as class 2-11 properties.¹

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on seven equity comparables for dwellings #1 and $#2.^2$

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$62,298. Dwelling #1 has an improvement assessment of \$28,019 or \$8.77 per square foot of living area. Dwelling #2 has an improvement assessment of \$19,591 or \$14.32 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity properties as comparables for each dwelling.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. Ill.Admin.Code 86 §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

Both parties presented information on a total of 11 suggested comparables for each dwelling. The appellant did not provide any descriptive information for the comparables' #5-#7. The Board gave little weight to these comparables. The lack of descriptive information prevents a meaningful analysis to determine how similar the comparables are to the subject's dwellings. The appellant's comparables #1, #3, and #4 were

¹ When the appellant's attorney completed the residential appeal form, he did not submit information for the subject property of this appeal. Instead, counsel submitted information for a different property that is the subject of another appeal before the Property Tax Appeal Board under docket number 09-33168.001-R-1.

² The appellant completed Section V of the residential appeal form with information on four suggested comparables and provided a spreadsheet with three additional comparables. However, descriptive information about the additional properties was not provided.

considerably newer than the subject's dwellings and comparable #2 differed in living area. As a result, the appellant's comparables #1-4 also received reduced weight.

The Board finds that the board of review comparables submitted for dwelling #1 were similar to this dwelling in age, living area, and foundation. These comparables have improvement assessments that ranged from \$13.50 to \$14.27 per square foot of living area. Dwelling #1 has an improvement assessment of \$8.77 per square foot of living area, thus demonstrating that this dwelling is not inequitably assessed. The Board also finds that board of review comparables #1, #2, and #4 submitted for dwelling #2 were similar to this dwelling in age, living area, and foundation. These comparables have improvement assessments that ranged from \$20.28 to \$22.25 per square foot of living area. Dwelling #2 has an improvement assessment of \$14.32 per square foot of living area, thus demonstrating that this dwelling is not inequitably assessed.

Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvements were inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified. This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

\sim	1	= F	-
	2.	per	
/	-	0	

Member

Mano Moios

Member

DISSENTING:

Member

Member

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 24, 2015

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

Docket No: 09-33935.001-R-1

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.