

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: 9362 Joint Venture LLC

DOCKET NO.: 09-24163.001-I-1 through 09-24163.006-I-1

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are 9362 Joint Venture LLC, the appellant(s), by attorney Joseph G. Kusper, of Storino Ramello & Durkin in Rosemont; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
09-24163.001-I-1	12-27-123-144-0000	26,920	0	\$26,920
09-24163.002-I-1	12-27-123-154-0000	2,127	0	\$2,127
09-24163.003-I-1	12-27-123-158-0000	4,349	0	\$4,349
09-24163.004-I-1	12-27-301-004-0000	4,900	0	\$4,900
09-24163.005-I-1	12-27-301-012-0000	4,372	0	\$4,372
09-24163.006-I-1	12-27-301-014-0000	2,125	0	\$2,125

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2009 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a six vacant industrial parcels of land containing 89,588 square feet of land area. The properties are located in Franklin Park, Leyden Township, Cook County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total/land assessment for the subject of \$44,793 or \$4.99 per square foot of land area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four sale comparables.

At hearing, the appellant's attorney and the board of review reaffirmed the evidence previously submitted.

#### Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds that the appellant's comparables are not similar to the subject in classification. The five properties are classified as 5-93 properties, whereas, the subject is a class 1 property. In addition, the Board accorded diminished weight to the properties submitted by the board of review as the evidence provided is unconfirmed, raw data on sales. Therefore, the Board finds this argument unpersuasive and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

Docket No: 09-24163.001-I-1 through 09-24163.006-I-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

$\sim$	Chairman
L. J. fer	
Member	Member
Mauro Illorioso	CAR .
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	April 24, 2015
	Alportol
•	Clark of the Droporty Tax Appeal Board

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.