

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Lawrence Pedtke DOCKET NO.: 09-21075.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-06-411-019-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Lawrence Pedtke, the appellant(s), by attorney Julie Realmuto, of McCarthy Duffy in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 11,664 **IMPR.:** \$ 29,671 **TOTAL:** \$ 41,335

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a 3,240 square foot parcel of land improved with a 96-year old, one-story, frame, single-family dwelling. The improvement contains a full basement and one bathroom.

The appellant's attorney raised two issues: first that the subject's building size was inaccurate; and second, that there was unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of this appeal.

The appellant's attorney argues that the size of the property's improvement is 1,208 square feet and that the property is a one-story dwelling. In contrast, the board of review submitted a photograph of the subject property and property characteristic printouts, that indicate that the property is a one and one-half story building with a 1,563 square foot dwelling.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted descriptive and assessment data for four suggested comparables. The properties were improved with dwellings of frame exterior construction and one and one-half to two and one-half bathrooms,

Docket No: 09-21075.001-R-1

therein. They range: in age from 106 to 117 years; in size from 1,100 to 1,295 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$17.87 to \$20.32 per square foot. The subject's improvement assessment is \$24.56 per square foot of living area based on 1,208 square feet. Based upon this analysis, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's total assessment was \$41,335. The board of review submitted descriptive and assessment data relating to four suggested comparables. The properties are improved with a one and one-half story, frame, single-family dwelling. They range: in bathrooms from one full to two full baths; in age from 105 to 111 years; in size from 1,292 to 1,667 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$18.87 to \$23.30 per square foot. As a result of its analysis, the board requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After considering the evidence provided by the appellant and the board of review, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

As to the subject's improvement, the Board finds that the best evidence of size was submitted by the board of review. Therefore, the subject property is a one and one-half story dwelling which contains 1,563 square feet of living area.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. <u>Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board</u>, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the data, the Board finds that the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds that comparables by the board of review are most similar to the subject in style and improvement size. In analysis, the Board accorded most weight to these comparables. These comparables ranged in improvement assessments from \$18.87 to \$23.30 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment at \$18.98 per square foot is within the range established by these comparables.

As a result of this analysis, the Board finds that the appellant has not adequately demonstrated that the subject was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and that a reduction is not warranted. Docket No: 09-21075.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Crit	
	Chairman
21. Fer	Howhe for Soul
Member	Member
Mauro Illorias	CAR .
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

March 23, 2012

Glask of the Preparty Tay Appeal Board

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

Docket No: 09-21075.001-R-1

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.