

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Mark & Rick Rot DOCKET NO.: 08-22224.001-C-1 PARCEL NO.: 23-24-110-019-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mark & Rick Rot, the appellant(s), by attorney Robert J. Paul in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 26,618 **IMPR.:** \$ 94,330 **TOTAL:** \$ 120,948

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## ANALYSIS

The subject has 10,007 square feet of land, which is improved with a 16 year old, one-story, masonry, commercial retail building. The subject's improvement size is 4,168 square feet of building area, and its total assessment is \$120,948. This assessment yields a fair market value of \$318,284, or \$76.36 per square foot of building area (including land), after applying the 38% assessment level for commercial properties under the 2008 Cook County Classification of Real Property Ordinance. The appellant, via counsel, argued that the fair market value of the subject property was not accurately reflected in its assessed value as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the market value argument, the appellant submitted a commercial appraisal report for the subject property with an effective date of January 1, 2008. The appraiser estimated a fair market value for the subject of \$220,000 based on the sales comparison approach to value. Three of the four sales comparables used by the appraiser were industrial warehouse or manufacturing buildings. The appraiser also conducted an inspection of the subject. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The Cook County Board of Review submitted it "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal," wherein the subject's final assessment

of \$120,948 was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted a property record card for the subject, and raw sales data for five commercial retail buildings located within four miles of the subject. The sales data was collected from the CoStar Comps service, and the CoStar Comps sheets state that the research was licensed to the Cook County Assessor's Office. However, the board of review included a memorandum which states that the submission of these comparables is not intended to be an appraisal or an estimate of value, and should not be construed as such. The memorandum further states that the information provided was collected from various sources, and was assumed to be factual, accurate, and reliable; but that the information had not been verified, and that the board of review did not warrant its accuracy.

The comparables are described as commercial retail buildings. Additionally, the comparables are from 21 to 57 years old, and have from 2,196 to 5,702 square feet of building area. The comparables sold between January 2003 and November 2009 for \$250,000 to \$1,700,000, or \$91.67 to \$298.14 per square foot of building area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board (the "Board") finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

When overvaluation is claimed, the appellant has the burden of proving the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. Cook Cnty. Bd. of Review v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 339 Ill. App. 3d 529, 545 (1st Dist. 2002); National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 331 Ill. App. 3d 1038, 1042 (3d Dist. 2002) (citing Winnebago Cnty. Bd. of Review v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 313 Ill. App. 3d 179 (2d Dist. 2000)); 86 Ill. Admin. Code § 1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal, a recent arm's length sale of the subject property, recent sales of comparable properties, or recent construction costs of the subject property. Calumet Transfer, LLC v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 401 Ill. App. 3d 652, 655 (1st Dist. 2010); 86 Ill. Admin. Code § 1910.65(c). Having considered the evidence presented, the Board finds that the evidence indicates a reduction is not warranted.

The Board does not find the appraisal submitted by the appellant persuasive. The subject is a commercial retail building. However, three of the comparables used by the appraiser were industrial warehouse or manufacturing buildings. The appraiser made no adjustment for this substantial difference in use between the comparables and the subject. Thus, the comparables used by the appraiser are not similar to the subject, nor were they adjusted properly. Moreover, the Board gives little weight to the board of review's evidence, as it was unadjusted raw sales data that made no adjustments for market conditions. For these

reasons, the Board finds the subject is not overvalued, and a reduction is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Prit	
	Chairman
21. Fe-	Hube of Soul
Member	Member
Mauro Illorino	
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

June 21, 2013

Lilla Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.