



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Dennis Drescher
DOCKET NO.: 07-20879.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-08-307-007-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dennis Drescher, the appellant, by attorney Mitchell L. Klein, of Schiller Klein PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 49,600
IMPR.: \$ 229,028
TOTAL: \$ 278,628

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 5,992 square feet of living area. The dwelling is seven years old and is in deluxe condition. Features of the home include a full, finished basement, central air conditioning, six fireplaces, and a three-car garage.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on three comparable properties described as two-story masonry dwellings that range in age from four to thirteen years old. The appellant's comparables all have the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparable dwellings range in size from 6,022 to 6,134 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full or partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, three or four fireplaces, and a garage, either three-car or three and one-half car. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$30.12 to \$35.20 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$38.22 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties consisting of two-story masonry dwellings, one of which is in deluxe condition. The comparables all have the same neighborhood code as the subject. The dwellings range in age from one to eight years old, and they range in size from 5,458 to 6,573 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full, finished basement, central air conditioning, three or four fireplaces, and a garage, either three-car or four-car. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$27.56 to \$48.00 per square foot of living area. The comparable assessed at \$27.56 apparently received a reduction in its 2007 improvement assessment for new construction. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, the appellant noted that three of the comparables submitted by the board of review differed in age from the subject; two differed in size; and one had unspecified other improvements. The appellant also referred to the comparables used and the conclusion reached in the board of review's initial analysis.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

Both parties presented assessment data on a total of seven equity comparables for this appeal. All of the comparables submitted by both parties were very similar to the subject in style, exterior construction, features, and age. The comparables numbered two and three by the board of review differed from the subject somewhat in size, and the comparable numbered four by the board of review represents new construction. The comparables numbered two through four by the board of review received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The Board finds the appellant's comparables and the comparable numbered one by the board of review were most similar to the subject in size. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$30.12 to \$39.15 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$38.22 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the most similar comparables. After considering

adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.




Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 23, 2010



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.