

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Richard Saltzman DOCKET NO.: 06-29092.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-33-309-035-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Richard Saltzman, the appellant, by attorney Mitchell L. Klein, of Schiller Klein PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the <u>Cook</u> County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 23,647 **IMPR.:** \$ 221,910 **TOTAL:** \$ 245,557

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject is a seven-year-old, three-story, masonry dwelling with 4,004 square feet of living area with a full, unfinished basement, central air conditioning, three fireplaces and a three-car garage.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a grid analysis detailing three suggested comparable properties located within one block of the subject. The three-story, masonry dwellings have central air conditioning, two-car garages and full basements with recreation rooms. Two have one fireplace each. They are seven or eight years old. Their living areas are 3,865 to 4,398 square feet in size, and they have improvement assessments of \$41.17 to \$48.50 per square foot. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$55.42 per square foot. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. The board of review also submitted a spreadsheet and property

Docket No: 06-29092.001-R-1

characteristic sheets with information on four comparable properties. They are located one-fourth of a mile from the subject in an area with the same assessor's assigned neighborhood code as the subject. The three-story, masonry dwellings have central air conditioning, two-car or three-car garages and full basements with recreation rooms. Two have one or two fireplaces. They are one to seven years old. They have 4,164 to 4,511 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments from \$6.28 to \$59.83 per square foot. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Property Tax Appeal Board further finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not overcome this burden.

The parties offered assessment data on a total of seven equity comparables. The board of review's comparable #4 is a new dwelling with a partial assessment. It is given no weight in the Board's analysis. The remaining six comparables are similar to the subject in most property characteristics, but each differs from the subject in at least one characteristic. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$41.17 to \$59.83 per square foot. The subject's \$55.42 per-square-foot improvement assessment is well within that range. After considering adjustments and differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared to the subject property, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported by the properties contained in the record.

As a result of this analysis, the Board finds the appellant has not adequately demonstrated that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is not warranted.

Docket No: 06-29092.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Smald R. Crit	
	Chairman
	Trucke for Soul
Member	Member
Mauro Illorioso	Walter R. Lorski
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

> January 21, 2011 Date: Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing Docket No: 06-29092.001-R-1

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.