

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Patricia Reichart DOCKET NO.: 06-25715.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-20-201-006-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Patricia Reichart, the appellant, by attorney Stephanie Park, of Park & Longstreet, P.C. of Rolling Meadows; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

> LAND: \$ 18,224 IMPR.: \$ 59,585 TOTAL: \$ 77,809

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a two-story multi-family dwelling of masonry construction containing 2,700 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 98 years old. Features of the home include a full, unfinished basement and a two-car garage.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on six comparable properties described as two-story masonry or frame and masonry dwellings that range in age from 98 to 118 years old. The comparable dwellings range in size from 2,609 to 2,804 square feet of living area. Each has a full basement, two of which are finished, one has a fireplace and three have a garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$16.65 to \$21.15 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$22.07 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties consisting of two-story Docket No: 06-25715.001-R-1

masonry dwellings that are between 80 and 108 years old. The dwellings range in size from 2,818 to 3,080 square feet of living area. The comparables have full basements, one of which is finished, one has central air conditioning and each has a garage. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$2.28 to \$22.60 per square foot of living area. The board of review's information indicates the comparable assessed at \$2.28 per square foot had its assessment reduced in 2006 based on a 10% occupancy factor. The assessment was increased in 2007. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. <u>Kankakee County Board of Review</u> <u>v. Property Tax Appeal Board</u>, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The comparables submitted by both parties were similar to the subject in design and age. The appellant's comparable five differed in exterior construction from the subject and received reduced weight. The board of review's comparable three was also given reduced weight based on the fact the assessment reflected a 10% occupancy rate. The remaining comparables were generally similar to the subject in exterior construction, age, size and features. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$16.65 to \$22.60 per square foot. The subject's improvement assessment of \$22.07 per square foot of living area is within the range established by the most similar comparables. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

maldh, hit

Chairman

Member

Mano Maino

Member

Huche for the

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 23, 2010

illen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

Docket No: 06-25715.001-R-1

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.