

Final Administrative Decision of the State of Illinois PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Andrew Roman, Jr. DOCKET NO.: 05-25043.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-31-129-024-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Andrew Roman, Jr., the appellant, by attorney Lawrence B. Brodsky, of Rieff Schramm & Kanter; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 4,907 **IMPR.:** \$ 37,779 **TOTAL:** \$ 42,686

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

<u>ANALYSIS</u>

The subject property consists of a 116-year-old, two-story, multi-family dwelling of masonry construction containing 2,528 square feet of living area and situated on a 2,400 square foot parcel. Features of the building include two full bathrooms, a full-unfinished basement and a two-car detached garage.

The appellant, through counsel, submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board arguing unequal treatment in the assessment process of the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this claim, the appellant submitted assessment data and descriptive information on seven properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The appellant also submitted a one-page brief, photographs and Cook County Assessor's Internet Database sheets for the subject and the suggested comparables as well as a copy of the board of review's decision. Based on the appellant's documents, the seven suggested comparables consist of two-story, multi-family dwellings of frame or masonry

1

PTAB/rfd7120

construction with the same neighborhood code as the subject. The improvements range in size from 2,628 to 3,202 square feet of living area and range in age from 90 to 125 years. The comparables contain from two to four full bathrooms. Six comparables contain a finished or unfinished basement and four comparables have a two-car detached garage. The improvement assessments range from \$6.67 to \$13.82 per square foot of living area. Based on the evidence submitted, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of \$42,686. The subject's improvement assessment is \$37,779 or \$14.94 per square foot of living area. In support of the assessment the board submitted property characteristic printouts and descriptive data on four properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The suggested comparables are improved with two-story, multifamily dwellings of masonry construction located within two blocks of the subject. The improvements range in size from 2,184 to 2,520 square feet of living area and range in age from 108 to 124 years. The comparables contain two, two and one-half or three full bathrooms, a full-finished or unfinished basement and a one-car or two-car detached garage. The improvement assessments range from \$15.09 to \$19.58 per square foot of living area. Based on the evidence presented, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review V. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not overcome this burden.

The Board finds the appellant's comparables three and five and the board of review's comparables one, two and three to be the most similar properties to the subject in the record. These five properties are similar to the subject in improvement size, location, amenities and age and have improvement assessments ranging from \$11.66 to \$19.58 per square foot of living area. The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of \$14.94 falls within the range established by these properties. The Board finds the remaining comparables less similar to the subject in improvement size and/or exterior construction. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's per square foot improvement assessment is supported by the most similar properties contained in the record.

Docket No: 05-25043.001-R-1

As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant has failed to adequately demonstrate that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is not warranted.

Docket No: 05-25043.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Mortogo
	Chairman
Z.L. Fer	Trucke for Soul
Member	Member
Hellibel	rember
Mano Illorios	
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

> September 28, 2009 Date: Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing Docket No: 05-25043.001-R-1

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.