

PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Sam & Deneen Kakovan
DOCKET NO.: 04-26366.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-33-403-006-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Sam & Deneen Kakovan, the appellants, by attorney Lisa A. Marino of Marino & Associates of Chicago and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a 124-year-old, one and part two-story single-family dwelling of frame construction containing 968 square feet of living area and located in New Trier Township, Cook County. The residence contains one bathroom, a partial basement and a two-car garage.

The appellants, through counsel, submitted evidence before the PTAB claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellants offered four suggested comparable properties located between one and two miles of the subject. These properties consist of one-story single-family dwellings of frame or frame and masonry construction and range in age from 51 to 136 years. The comparables have one or two bathrooms and full or partial basements, one finished. One home is air-conditioned and the comparables have one or two-car garages. The comparables contain between 792 and 994 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$11,720 to \$18,506 or from \$14.80 to \$18.64 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final improvement assessment of \$20,156, or \$20.82 per square foot of living area, was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board offered three suggested comparable properties located within a quarter mile of the subject. The comparables consist of one-story single-family dwellings of frame or masonry construction and are 80 or 126 years old. The comparables contain one bathroom and full basements and two sites have one-car garages. The comparables range in size from 910 to 959 square feet of living area and have

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the COOK County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$13,524
IMPR. \$20,156
TOTAL: \$33,680

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/TMcG.

improvement assessments of between \$21,940 and \$22,938 or from \$23.92 to \$24.55 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board requested confirmation of the subject property's assessment.


After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the PTAB finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the PTAB finds the appellants have failed to overcome this burden.

The PTAB finds the appellant's comparable three and the board's comparable one are the only comparables with basic components similar to the subject. The PTAB gives the remaining five comparables less weight due to differences in age, living area, construction and location. The seven properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$14.80 to \$24.55 per square foot of living area. The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of \$20.82 is within this range of properties. After considering the similarities and differences in the suggested comparables when compared to the subject property, the PTAB finds the evidence submitted is insufficient to effect a change in the subject's assessment.

As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellants failed to adequately demonstrate that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.


Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 24, 2009



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal

Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.