PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Gordon Montgomery
DOCKET NO.: 04-25920.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-17-412-014-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Gordon Montgomery, the appellant, by attorney Rusty A. Payton of the Law Offices of Rusty A. Payton, P.C., Chicago, Illinois; and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a 67-year old, two-story dwelling of frame construction containing 3,453 square feet of living area with a partial, unfinished basement, central air conditioning, three fireplaces, and a two car garage.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted a grid analysis detailing four suggested The appellant's map indicates the comparable properties. comparables are located one-half mile from the subject. The map also indicates comparables not listed on the grid analysis. comparables are two-story frame and masonry or stucco dwellings that are 85 to 101 years old with fireplaces. Three comparables have unfinished basements and one comparable has a partial basement. Two comparables have central air conditioning. Their living areas are from 2,775 to 4,089 square feet in size, and have improvement assessments of \$17.99 to \$19.42 per square foot. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$25.66 per square foot. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review offered the property characteristic sheets and a spreadsheet detailing four suggested comparable properties located on the same block or

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the <u>Cook</u> County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$23,328 IMPR.: \$88,605 TOTAL: \$111,933

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/CKG

one-half mile from the subject. The comparable properties consist of two-story masonry or frame and masonry dwellings that are 51 to 91 years old with two fireplaces each. Two comparables have full, finished basements and two comparables have full, unfinished basements. Two comparables have central air conditioning. The comparables have one, one and one-half, two, or three car garages. The dwellings contain 2,112 to 3,392 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments of \$27.03 to \$33.28 per square foot. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Property Tax Appeal Board further finds that a reduction in the subject's The appellant's argument was assessment is not warranted. unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not overcome this burden.

Both parties presented assessment data on a total of eight equity comparables. The appellant's comparables were located one-half mile from the subject, were substantially older than the subject, differed from the subject in exterior construction. Comparable one was significantly smaller than the subject and comparable three and four were substantially larger than the subject. The board of review's comparable four was also substantially smaller than the subject. Comparable one, two, and three were also substantially older than the subject. result, none of the comparables was truly similar to the subject in physical characteristics to provide clear and convincing evidence that the property was inequitably assessed. the Board notes that all comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$17.99 to \$33.28 per square foot. The subject's improvement assessment of \$25.66 per square foot is within that range and appears to be supported after considering differences in physical and location attributes.

As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant has not adequately demonstrated that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is not warranted. This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

<u>C E R T I F I C A T I O N</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: October 26, 2007

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing $3\ {\rm of}\ 4$

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A $\frac{\text{PETITION AND EVIDENCE}}{\text{30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.$

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.