PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Angel Resendez
DOCKET NO.: 03-22859.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 09-10-301-105-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Angel Resendez, the appellant, by attorney Stephanie Park, Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a 26-year-old, three-story, six-unit, multi-family dwelling of masonry construction containing 6,678 square feet of living area. Features of the building include six full bathrooms and air-conditioning. The subject is built on slab and located in Maine Township, Cook County.

The appellant, through counsel, appeared before the Property Tax Appeal Board arguing unequal treatment in the assessment process of the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of claim, the appellant submitted assessment descriptive information on eight properties suggested comparable to the subject. The appellant also submitted a twopage brief, photographs of the subject and the suggested comparables and a copy of the board of review's decision. on the appellant's documents, the eight suggested comparables consist of three-story, 26-year-old, 6,678 square foot, multifamily dwellings of masonry construction located on the same street and block as the subject. The comparables contain six full bathrooms and air-conditioning. The improvement assessments range from \$5.20 to \$7.20 per square foot of living area.

At hearing, the appellant's attorney argued that the appellant's comparables are similar to the subject in size, design and

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds $\underline{a\ reduction}$ in the assessment of the property as established by the $\underline{\mathbf{Cook}}$ County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 2,923 IMPR.: \$ 48,082 TOTAL: \$ 51,005

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Final administrative decisions of the Property Tax Appeal Board are subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

PTAB/rfd4936

location. Based on the evidence submitted, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of \$55,999. The subject's improvement assessment is \$53,076 or \$7.95 per square foot of living area. In support of the assessment the board submitted property characteristic printouts and descriptive data on four properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The suggested comparables are improved with three-story, 15-yearsquare foot, multi-family dwellings of construction with the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables contain six full bathrooms, five half-baths, unfinished basement and air-conditioning. The improvement assessments are \$10.41 per square foot of living area. board's evidence disclosed that the subject sold in January 2001 for a price of \$395,000.

At hearing, the board's representative stated that the board of review would rest on the written evidence submissions. Based on the evidence presented, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review V. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has overcome this burden.

The Board finds the appellant's comparables to be the most similar properties to the subject in the record. These eight properties are similar to the subject in improvement size, amenities, age and design. In addition, they are located on the same street and block as the subject. They have improvement assessments ranging from \$5.20 to \$7.20 per square foot of living The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of \$7.95 falls above the range established by these properties. The Board finds the board of review's comparables less similar to the subject in age, amenities and/or location. After coadjustments and the differences in both parties' After considering suggested comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's per square foot improvement assessment is not supported by similar properties contained in the record.

As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant has adequately demonstrated that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board are subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 28, 2007

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.